

# Minimal Length, Minimal Inverse Temperature, Measurability and Black Holes

Alexander Shalyt-Margolin <sup>1</sup>

*Research Institute for Nuclear Problems, Belarusian State University, 11  
Bobruiskaya str., Minsk 220040, Belarus*

PACS: 03.65, 05.20

Keywords: minimal length, minimal inverse temperature, measurability

## Abstract

The measurability notion introduced previously in a quantum theory on the basis of a minimal length in this paper is defined in thermodynamics on the basis of a minimal inverse temperature. Based on this notion, some inferences are made for gravitational thermodynamics of horizon spaces and, specifically, for black holes with the Schwarzschild metric.

## 1 Introduction.

This paper is a continuation of the earlier works published by the author [1],[2]. The main idea and target of these works is to construct a correct quantum theory and gravity in terms of the variations (increments) dependent on the existent energies.

Within such a theory, the small and infinitesimal variations  $dx, \delta x, dp, \delta p...$  which, by definition, are independent of the existent energies should be withdrawn, being included only on passage to the particular limit. First of all, this holds true for the infinitesimal space-time variations  $dx_\mu$  as the latter are at the basis of continuous space-time.

At the present time physics is using (not without success) the mathematical apparatus based on the infinitesimal space-time variations (increments)

$$dt, dx_i, i = 1, \dots, 3 \tag{1}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: a.shalyt@mail.ru; alexm@hep.by

This mathematical apparatus comes from mathematical analysis [3], calculus of variations [4] and classical mechanics [5],[6]. Continuous space-time forms the base thereof. Then, this tool has been successfully applied in Quantum Theory (QT) [7], Special Relativity, and General Relativity (GR) [8]. But, due to the introduction of ultraviolet and infrared divergences into a Quantum Theory and also due to the correct passage to the high-energy (ultraviolet) region in Gravity, we are facing very serious problems. By the author's opinion, these problems are solvable but beyond the paradigm of continuous space-time.

The principal idea of the papers [1],[2] is as follows:

**(1.1)** Within a discrete model for continuous space-time, at low energies (which are far from the Planck energies) the results, to a high accuracy, are identical to those obtained by a continuous model for space-time (and in this case may be called the quasi-continuous model). But at high (Planck's) energies the indicated model is fundamentally discrete, leading to principally new results.

**(1.2)** All variations in any physical system considered in such a discrete model should be dependent on the existent energies.

The primary instrument for such a discrete model is the **measurability** notion introduced in [1],[2] on the basis of the minimal length  $l_{min}$  (minimal time  $t_{min}$ ).

This paper demonstrates that a similar (in essence dual) notion may be also introduced in thermodynamics on the basis of a minimal inverse temperature. The derived results are applied to study gravitational dynamics of the horizon spherically-symmetric spaces and, specifically, of the black holes with the Schwarzschild metric.

Actually, all the required preliminary information is included in this text. Subsection 2.1 presents in detail some of the results, obtained by the author in thermodynamics and published in [9], which are important in what follows. In the first part of Subsection 2.2 the author gives consideration to the principal definitions from [1],[2] which are used for the derivation of the necessary formulae in the second part of this section. In Subsection 2.3 the **measurability** notion in thermodynamics is introduced; some direct inferences are drawn. Finally, in Subsection 3 the earlier obtained results are used to study gravitational dynamics in the above-mentioned cases.

## 2 Minimal Length, Minimal Inverse Temperature, and Measurability

### 2.1 Generalized Uncertainty Principles in Quantum Theory and Thermodynamics

In this Subsection the author presents some of the results from Section 2 of the paper [9], because they are important for this work.

It is well known that in thermodynamics an inequality for the pair interior energy - inverse temperature that is completely analogous to the standard uncertainty relation in quantum mechanics [10] can be written [13] – [18]. The only (but essential) difference of this inequality from the quantum mechanical one is that the main quadratic fluctuation is defined by means of the classical partition function rather than by the quantum mechanical expectation values. In the last years a lot of papers appeared in which the usual momentum-coordinate uncertainty relation has been modified at very high energies of order Planck energy  $E_p$  [19]–[30]. In this note we propose simple reasons for modifying the thermodynamic uncertainty relation at Planck energies. This modification results in existence of the minimal possible main quadratic fluctuation of the inverse temperature. Of course we assume that all the thermodynamic quantities used are properly defined so that they have physical sense at such high energies.

We start with usual Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (relation) [10] for momentum - coordinate:

$$\Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p}. \quad (2)$$

It was shown that at the Planck scale a high-energy term must appear:

$$\Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' l_p^2 \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar} \quad (3)$$

where  $l_p$  is the Planck length  $l_p^2 = G\hbar/c^3 \simeq 1,6 \cdot 10^{-35}m$  and  $\alpha'$  is a constant. In [19] this term is derived from the string theory, in [22] it follows from the simple estimates of Newtonian gravity and quantum mechanics, in [26] it comes from the black hole physics, other methods can also be used

[25],[27],[28]. Relation (3) is quadratic in  $\Delta p$

$$\alpha' l_p^2 (\Delta p)^2 - \hbar \Delta x \Delta p + \hbar^2 \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

and therefore leads to the fundamental length

$$\Delta x_{min} = 2\sqrt{\alpha' l_p} \quad (5)$$

Inequality (3) is called the Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) in Quantum Theory.

Using relations (3) it is easy to obtain a similar relation for the energy - time pair. Indeed (3) gives

$$\frac{\Delta x}{c} \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta pc} + \alpha' l_p^2 \frac{\Delta p}{c\hbar}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \alpha' \frac{l_p^2}{c^2} \frac{\Delta pc}{\hbar} = \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \alpha' t_p^2 \frac{\Delta E}{\hbar}. \quad (7)$$

where the smallness of  $l_p$  is taken into account so that the difference between  $\Delta E$  and  $\Delta(pc)$  can be neglected and  $t_p$  is the Planck time  $t_p = l_p/c = \sqrt{G\hbar/c^5} \simeq 0,54 \cdot 10^{-43} sec$ . Inequality (7) gives analogously to (3) the lower boundary for time  $\Delta t \geq 2t_p$  determining the fundamental time

$$t_{min} = 2\sqrt{\alpha'} t_p \quad (8)$$

Thus, the inequalities discussed can be rewritten in a standard form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' \left( \frac{\Delta p}{P_{pl}} \right) \frac{\hbar}{P_{pl}} \\ \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \alpha' \left( \frac{\Delta E}{E_p} \right) \frac{\hbar}{E_p} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $P_{pl} = E_p/c = \sqrt{\hbar c^3/G}$ . Now we consider the thermodynamics uncertainty relations between the inverse temperature and interior energy of a macroscopic ensemble

$$\Delta \frac{1}{T} \geq \frac{k_B}{\Delta U}. \quad (10)$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant.

N.Bohr [11] and W.Heisenberg [12] first pointed out that such kind of uncertainty principle should take place in thermodynamics. The thermodynamic uncertainty relations (10) were proved by many authors and in various ways [13] – [18]. Therefore their validity does not raise any doubts. Nevertheless, relation (10) was proved in view of the standard model of the infinite-capacity heat bath encompassing the ensemble. But it is obvious from the above inequalities that at very high energies the capacity of the heat bath can no longer to be assumed infinite at the Planck scale. Indeed, the total energy of the pair heat bath - ensemble may be arbitrary large but finite merely as the universe is born at a finite energy. Hence the quantity that can be interpreted as the temperature of the ensemble must have the upper limit and so does its main quadratic deviation. In other words the quantity  $\Delta(1/T)$  must be bounded from below. But in this case an additional term should be introduced into (10)

$$\Delta \frac{1}{T} \geq \frac{k_B}{\Delta U} + \eta \Delta U \quad (11)$$

where  $\eta$  is a coefficient. Dimension and symmetry reasons give

$$\eta \sim \frac{k_B}{E_p^2} \text{ or } \eta = \alpha' \frac{k_B}{E_p^2} \quad (12)$$

As in the previous cases inequality (11) leads to the fundamental (inverse) temperature.

$$T_{max} = \frac{\hbar}{2\sqrt{\alpha'} t_p k_B} = \frac{E_p}{2\sqrt{\alpha'} k_B} = \frac{T_p}{2\sqrt{\alpha'}} = \frac{\hbar}{t_{min} k_B},$$

$$\beta_{min} = \frac{1}{k_B T_{max}} = \frac{t_{min}}{\hbar} \quad (13)$$

In the work [31] the black hole horizon temperature has been measured with the use of the Gedanken experiment. In the process the Generalized Uncertainty Relations in Thermodynamics (11) have been derived also. Expression (11) has been considered in the monograph [32] within the scope of the mathematical physics methods.

Thus, we obtain the system of generalized uncertainty relations in a symmetric form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' \left( \frac{\Delta p}{P_{pl}} \right) \frac{\hbar}{P_{pl}} + \dots \\ \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \alpha' \left( \frac{\Delta E}{E_p} \right) \frac{\hbar}{E_p} + \dots \\ \Delta \frac{1}{T} \geq \frac{k_B}{\Delta U} + \alpha' \left( \frac{\Delta U}{E_p} \right) \frac{k_B}{E_p} + \dots \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

or in the equivalent form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' l_p^2 \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar} + \dots \\ \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \alpha' t_p^2 \frac{\Delta E}{\hbar} + \dots \\ \Delta \frac{1}{T} \geq \frac{k_B}{\Delta U} + \alpha' \frac{1}{T_p^2} \frac{\Delta U}{k_B} + \dots \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

where the dots mean the existence of higher order corrections as in [33].

Here  $T_p$  is the Planck temperature:  $T_p = E_p/k_B$ . (4)

In literature the relation (10) is referred to as the Uncertainty Principle in Thermodynamics (UPT). Let us call the relation (11) the Generalized Uncertainty Principle in Thermodynamics (GUPT).

In this case, without the loss of generality and for symmetry, it is assumed that a dimensionless constant in the right-hand side of GUP (formula (3)) and in the right-hand side of GUPT (formula (11)) is the same –  $\alpha'$ .

## 2.2 Minimal Length and Measurable Notion in Quantum Theory

First, we consider in this Subsection the principal definitions from [1],[2] which are required to derive the key formulae in the second part of the Subsection and to obtain further results.

**Definition I.** Let us call as **primarily measurable variation** any small variation (increment)  $\tilde{\Delta}x_\mu$  of any spatial coordinate  $x_\mu$  of the arbitrary point  $x_\mu, \mu = 1, \dots, 3$  in some space-time system  $R$  if it may be realized in the form of the uncertainty (standard deviation)  $\Delta x_\mu$  when this coordinate is measured within the scope of Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle (HUP) [10] (formula (2) in general case):

$$\tilde{\Delta}x_\mu = \Delta x_\mu, \Delta x_\mu \simeq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p_\mu}, \mu = 1, 2, 3 \quad (16)$$

for some  $\Delta p_\mu \neq 0$ .

Similarly, for  $\mu = 0$  for pair "time-energy"  $(t, E)$ , let's call any small variation (increment) by **primarily measurable variation** in the value of time  $\tilde{\Delta}x_0 = \tilde{\Delta}t_0$  if it may be realized in the form of the uncertainty (standard deviation)  $\Delta x_0 = \Delta t$  and then

$$\tilde{\Delta}t = \Delta t, \Delta t \simeq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} \quad (17)$$

for some  $\Delta E \neq 0$ . Formula (17) is nothing else as formula (7) for  $\Delta E \ll E_p$ . Here HUP is given for the nonrelativistic case. In the relativistic case HUP has the distinctive features [34] which, however, are of no significance for the general formulation of **Definition I.**, being associated only with particular alterations in the right-hand side of the second relation Equation (17).

It is clear that at low energies  $E \ll E_p$  (momenta  $P \ll P_{pl}$ ) **Definition I.** sets a lower bound for the **primarily measurable variation**  $\tilde{\Delta}x_\mu$  of any space-time coordinate  $x_\mu$ .

At high energies  $E$  (momenta  $P$ ) this is not the case if  $E$  ( $P$ ) have no upper limit. But, according to the modern knowledge,  $E$  ( $P$ ) are bounded by some maximal quantities  $E_{max}, (P_{max})$

$$E \leq E_{max}, P \leq P_{max}, \quad (18)$$

where in general  $E_{max}, P_{max}$  may be on the order of Planck quantities  $E_{max} \propto E_p, P_{max} \propto P_{pl}$  and also may be the trans-Planck's quantities.

In any case the quantities  $P_{max}$  and  $E_{max}$  lead to the introduction of the minimal length  $l_{min}$  and of the minimal time  $t_{min}$ .

**Supposition II.** There is the minimal length  $l_{min}$  as a *minimal measurement unit* for all **primarily measurable variations** having the dimension of length, whereas the minimal time  $t_{min} = l_{min}/c$  as a *minimal measurement unit* for all quantities or **primarily measurable variations (increments)** having the dimension of time, where  $c$  is the speed of light.

$l_{min}$  and  $t_{min}$  are naturally introduced as  $\Delta x_\mu, \mu = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\Delta t$  in Equations (16) and (17) for  $\Delta p_\mu = P_{max}$  and  $\Delta E = E_{max}$ .

For definiteness, we consider that  $E_{max}$  and  $P_{max}$  are the quantities on the order of the Planck quantities, then  $l_{min}$  and  $t_{min}$  are also on the order of Planck quantities  $l_{min} \propto l_P, t_{min} \propto t_P$ .

**Definition I.** and **Supposition II.** are quite natural in the sense that there are no physical principles with which they are inconsistent.

The combination of **Definition I.** and **Supposition II.** will be called the **Principle of Bounded Primarily Measurable Space-Time Variations (Increments)** or for short **Principle of Bounded Space-Time Variations (Increments)** with abbreviation (PBSTV).

As the minimal unit of measurement  $l_{min}$  is available for all the **primarily measurable variations**  $\Delta L$  having the dimensions of length, the “Integrality Condition” (IC) is the case

$$\Delta L = N_{\Delta L} l_{min}, \quad (19)$$

where  $N_{\Delta L} > 0$  is an integer number.

In a like manner the same “Integrality Condition” (IC) is the case for all the **primarily measurable variations**  $\Delta t$  having the dimensions of time. And similar to Equation (19), we get the for any time  $\Delta t$ :

$$\Delta t \equiv \Delta t(N_t) = N_{\Delta t} t_{min}, \quad (20)$$

where similarly  $N_{\Delta L} > 0$  is an integer number too.

**Definition 1 (Primary or Elementary Measurability.)**

- (1) *In accordance with the PBSTV let us define the quantity having the dimensions of length or time as **primarily (or elementarily) measurable**, when it satisfies the relation Equation (19) (and respectively Equation (20)).*
- (2) *Let us define any physical quantity **primarily (or elementarily) measurable**, when its value is consistent with points (1) of this Definition.*

It is convenient to use the deformation parameter  $\alpha_a$ . This parameter has been introduced earlier in the papers [35],[9],[36]–[39] as a *deformation parameter* (in terms of paper [40]) on going from the canonical quantum mechanics to the quantum mechanics at Planck’s scales (early Universe) that is considered to be the quantum mechanics with the minimal length (QMML):

$$\alpha_a = l_{min}^2/a^2, \quad (21)$$

where  $a$  is the measuring scale. It is easily seen that the parameter  $\alpha_a$  from Equation (21) is discrete as it is nothing else but

$$\alpha_a = l_{min}^2/a^2 = \frac{l_{min}^2}{N_a^2 l_{min}^2} = \frac{1}{N_a^2}. \quad (22)$$

At the same time, from Equation (22) it is evident that  $\alpha_a$  is irregularly discrete.

It should be noted that, physical quantities complying with **Definition 1** won’t be enough for the research of physical systems.

Indeed, such a variable as

$$\alpha_{N_a l_{min}}(N_a l_{min}) = p(N_a) \frac{l_{min}^2}{\hbar} = l_{min}/N_a, \quad (23)$$

(where  $\alpha_{N_a l_{min}} = \alpha_{N_a l_{min}}$  is taken from formula (22) at  $a = N_a l_{min}$ , and  $p(N_a) = \frac{\hbar}{N_a l_{min}}$  is the corresponding **primarily measurable** momentum), is fully expressed in terms *only* **Primarily Measurable Quantities** of **Definition 1** and that’s why it may appear at any stage of calculations, but apparently doesn’t comply with **Definition 1**. That’s why it’s necessary to introduce the following definition generalizing **Definition 1**:

**Definition 2. Generalized Measurability**

We shall call any physical quantity as **generalized-measurable** or for simplicity **measurable** if any of its values may be obtained in terms of **Primarily Measurable Quantities** of **Definition 1**.

In what follows, for simplicity, we will use the term **Measurability** instead of **Generalized Measurability**.

It is evident that any **primarily measurable quantity (PMQ)** is **measurable**. Generally speaking, the contrary is not correct, as indicated by formula (23).

The **generalized-measurable** quantities are appeared from the **Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP)** (formula (3)) that naturally leads to the minimal length  $l_{min}$  [19]–[30]:

$$\Delta x_{min} = 2\sqrt{\alpha'}l_p \doteq l_{min}, \quad (24)$$

For convenience, we denote the minimal length  $l_{min} \neq 0$  by  $\ell$  and  $t_{min} \neq 0$  by  $\tau = \ell/c$ .

Solving equation (3), in the case of equality we obtain the apparent formula

$$\Delta p_{\pm} = \frac{(\Delta x \pm \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 - 4\alpha'l_p^2})\hbar}{2\alpha'l_p^2}. \quad (25)$$

Next, into this formula we substitute the right-hand part of formula (19) for  $L = x$ . Considering (24), we can derive the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p_{\pm} &= \frac{(N_{\Delta x} \pm \sqrt{(N_{\Delta x})^2 - 1})\hbar\ell}{\frac{1}{2}\ell^2} = \\ &= \frac{2(N_{\Delta x} \pm \sqrt{(N_{\Delta x})^2 - 1})\hbar}{\ell}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

But it is evident that at low energies  $E \ll E_p; N_{\Delta x} \gg 1$  the plus sign in the nominator (26) leads to the contradiction as it results in very high (much greater than the Planck's) values of  $\Delta p$ . Because of this, it is necessary to select the minus sign in the numerator (26). Then, multiplying the left and right sides of (26) by the same number  $N_{\Delta x} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1}$ , we get

$$\Delta p = \frac{2\hbar}{(N_{\Delta x} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1})\ell}. \quad (27)$$

$\Delta p$  from formula (27) is the **generalized-measurable** quantity in the sense of **Definition 2**. However, it is clear that at low energies  $E \ll E_p$ , i.e. for  $N_{\Delta x} \gg 1$ , we have  $\sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1} \approx N_{\Delta x}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{N_{\Delta x} \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1} = N_{\Delta x}. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, in this case (27) may be written as follows:

$$\Delta p \doteq \Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, HUP) = \frac{\hbar}{1/2(N_{\Delta x} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1})\ell} \approx \frac{\hbar}{N_{\Delta x}\ell} = \frac{\hbar}{\Delta x}; N_{\Delta x} \gg 1, (29)$$

in complete conformity with HUP. Besides,  $\Delta p \doteq \Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, HUP)$ , to a high accuracy, is a **primarily measurable** quantity in the sense of **Definition 1**.

And vice versa it is obvious that at high energies  $E \approx E_p$ , i.e. for  $N_{\Delta x} \approx 1$ , there is no way to transform formula (27) and we can write

$$\Delta p \doteq \Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, GUP) = \frac{\hbar}{1/2(N_{\Delta x} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1})\ell}; N_{\Delta x} \approx 1. (30)$$

At the same time,  $\Delta p \doteq \Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, GUP)$  is a **Generalized Measurable** quantity in the sense of **Definition 2**.

Thus, we have

$$GUP \rightarrow HUP (31)$$

for

$$(N_{\Delta x} \approx 1) \rightarrow (N_{\Delta x} \gg 1). (32)$$

Also, we have

$$\Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, GUP) \rightarrow \Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, HUP), (33)$$

where  $\Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, GUP)$  is taken from formula (30), whereas  $\Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, HUP)$  from formula (29).

*Comment 2\*.*

*From the above formulae it follows that, within GUP, the **primarily measurable** variations (quantities) are derived to a high accuracy from the **generalized-measurable** variations (quantities) only in the low-energy limit  $E \ll E_p$*

Next, within the scope of GUP, we can correct a value of the parameter  $\alpha_a$  from formula (22) substituting  $a$  for  $\Delta x$  in the expression  $N_{\Delta x} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta x}^2 - 1}$ .

Then at low energies  $E \ll E_p$  we have the **primarily measurable** quantity  $\alpha_a(HUP)$

$$\alpha_a \doteq \alpha_a(HUP) = \frac{1}{[1/2(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1})]^2} \approx \frac{1}{N_a^2}; N_a \gg 1, \quad (34)$$

that corresponds, to a high accuracy, to the value from formula (22). Accordingly, at high energies we have  $E \approx E_p$

$$\alpha_a \doteq \alpha_a(GUP) = \frac{1}{[1/2(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1})]^2}; N_a \approx . \quad (35)$$

When going from high energies  $E \approx E_p$  to low energies  $E \ll E_p$ , we can write

$$\alpha_a(GUP) \xrightarrow{(N_a \approx 1) \rightarrow (N_a \gg 1)} \alpha_a(HUP) \quad (36)$$

in complete conformity to *Comment 2\**.

### 2.3 Minimal Inverse Temperature and Measurability

Now, let us return to the thermodynamic relation (11) in the case of equality:

$$\Delta \frac{1}{T} = \frac{k_B}{\Delta U} + \eta \Delta U, \quad (37)$$

that is equivalent to the quadratic equation

$$\eta (\Delta U)^2 - \Delta \frac{1}{T} \Delta U + k_B = 0. \quad (38)$$

The discriminant of this equation, with due regard for formula (12), is equal to

$$D = (\Delta \frac{1}{T})^2 - 4\eta k_B = (\Delta \frac{1}{T})^2 - 4\alpha' \frac{k_B^2}{E_p^2} \geq 0, \quad (39)$$

leading directly to  $(\Delta \frac{1}{T})_{min}$

$$(\Delta \frac{1}{T})_{min} = 2\sqrt{\alpha' \frac{k_B}{E_p}} \quad (40)$$

or, due to the fact that  $k_B$  is constant, we have

$$\left(\Delta \frac{1}{k_B T}\right)_{min} = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha'}}{E_p}. \quad (41)$$

It is clear that  $\left(\Delta \frac{1}{T}\right)_{min}$  corresponds to  $T_{max}$  from formula (13)

$$T_{max} \approx T_p \gg 0. \quad (42)$$

In this case  $\Delta \frac{1}{T} \approx \frac{1}{T}$  and, of course, we can assume that

$$\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)_{min} \doteq \tilde{\tau} = \frac{1}{T_{max}}. \quad (43)$$

Trying to find from formula (43) a minimal unit of measurability for the inverse temperature and introducing the ‘‘Integrality Condition’’ (IC) in line with the conditions (19),(20)

$$\frac{1}{T} = N_{1/T} \tilde{\tau}, \quad (44)$$

where  $N_{1/T} > 0$  is an integer number, we can introduce an analog of the **primary measurability** notion into thermodynamics.

**Definition 3 (Primary Thermodynamic Measurability)**

(1) *Let us define a quantity having the dimensions of inverse temperature as **primarily measurable** when it satisfies the relation (44).*

(2) *Let us define any physical quantity in thermodynamics as **primarily measurable** when its value is consistent with point (1) of this Definition.*

**Definition 3** in thermodynamics is analogous to the **Primary Measurability** in a quantum theory (**Definition 1**).

Now we consider the quadratic equation (38) in terms of **measurable quantities** in the sense of **Definition 3**. In accordance with this definition and with formula (44)  $\Delta(1/T)$ , we can write

$$\Delta \frac{1}{T} = N_{\Delta(1/T)} \tilde{\tau}, \quad (45)$$

where  $N_{\Delta(1/T)} > 0$  is an integer number.

The quadratic equation (38) takes the following form:

$$\eta (\Delta U)^2 - N_{\Delta(1/T)} \tilde{\tau} \Delta U + k_B = 0. \quad (46)$$

Then, due to formula (41), we can find the "measurable" roots of equation (46) for  $\Delta U$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta U)_{meas,\pm} &= \frac{[N_{\Delta(1/T)} \pm \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1}] \tilde{\tau}}{2\eta} = \\ &= \frac{2k_B [N_{\Delta(1/T)} \pm \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1}] \tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}^2} = \\ &= \frac{2k_B [N_{\Delta(1/T)} \pm \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1}]}{\tilde{\tau}}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The last line in (47) is associated with the obvious relation  $2\eta = \frac{\tilde{\tau}^2}{2k_B}$ . In this way we derive a complete analog of the corresponding relation (26) from a quantum theory by replacement

$$\Delta p_{\pm} \Rightarrow \Delta U_{meas,\pm}; N_{\Delta x} \Rightarrow N_{\Delta(1/T)}; \hbar \Rightarrow k_B. \quad (48)$$

As, for **low temperatures and energies**,  $T \ll T_{max} \propto T_p$ , we have  $1/T \gg 1/T_p$  and hence  $\Delta(1/T) \gg 1/T_p$  and  $N_{\Delta(1/T)} \gg 1$ .

Next, in analogy with Subsection 2.2, in formula (47) we can have only the minus-sign root, otherwise, at sufficiently high  $N_{\Delta(1/T)} \gg 1$  for  $(\Delta U)_{meas,+}$  we can get  $(\Delta U)_{meas,+} \gg E_p$ . But this is impossible for low temperatures (energies).

On the contrary, the minus sign in (47) is consistent with high and low energies.

So, taking the root value in (47) corresponding to this sign and multiplying the nominator and denominator in (47) by  $N_{\Delta(1/T)} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1}$ , we obtain

$$(\Delta U)_{meas} = \frac{2k_B}{(N_{\Delta(1/T)} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1}) \tilde{\tau}} \quad (49)$$

to have a complete analog of the corresponding relation from (27) in a quantum theory by substitution according to formula (48).

Then it is clear that, in analogy with Subsection 2.2, for low energies and temperatures  $N_{\Delta(1/T)} \gg 1$  (49) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta U)_{meas} \doteq (\Delta U)_{meas}(T \ll T_{max}) &= \frac{2k_B}{(N_{\Delta(1/T)} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1})\tilde{\tau}} \approx \\ &\approx \frac{k_B}{N_{\Delta(1/T)}\tilde{\tau}}, N_{\Delta(1/T)} \gg 1, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

i.e. the Uncertainty Principle in Thermodynamics (UPT, formula (10)) is involved. In this case, due to the last formula,  $\Delta U_{meas}$  represents a **primarily measurable** thermodynamic quantity in the sense of **Definition 3** to a high accuracy.

Of course, at high energies the last term in the formula (50) is lacking and, for  $T \approx T_{max}$ ;  $N_{\Delta(1/T)} \approx 1$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta U)_{meas} \doteq (\Delta U)_{meas}(T \approx T_{max}) &= \frac{k_B}{1/2(N_{\Delta(1/T)} + \sqrt{N_{\Delta(1/T)}^2 - 1})\tilde{\tau}}, \\ &N_{\Delta(1/T)} \approx 1. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

From (51) it follows that at high temperatures (energies)  $(\Delta U)_{meas}$  could hardly be a **primarily measurable** thermodynamic quantity. Because of this, it is expedient to use a counterpart of **Definition 2**.

#### **Definition 4. Generalized Measurability in Thermodynamics**

Any physical quantity in thermodynamics may be referred to as **generalized-measurable** or, for simplicity, **measurable** if any of its values may be obtained in terms of the **Primary Thermodynamic Measurability** of **Definition 3**.

In this way  $(\Delta U)_{meas}$  from the formula (51) is a **measurable** quantity.

Based on the preceding formulae, it is clear that we have the limiting transition

$$(\Delta U)_{meas}(T \approx T_{max}) \xrightarrow{(N_{\Delta(1/T)} \approx 1) \rightarrow (N_{\Delta(1/T)} \gg 1)} (\Delta U)_{meas}(T \ll T_{max} \propto T_p), \quad (52)$$

that is analogous to the corresponding formula (36) in a quantum theory. Therefore, in this case the analog of *Comment 2\** in Subsection 2.2 is valid.

*Comment 2\* Thermodynamics*

*From the above formulae it follows that, within GUPT (11), the **primarily measurable** variations (quantities) are derived, to a high accuracy, from the **generalized-measurable** variations (quantities) only in the low-temperature limit  $T \ll T_{max} \propto T_p$ .*

To conclude this Section, it seems logical to make several important **remarks**.

**R2.1** It is obvious that all the calculations associated with **measurability** of inverse temperature  $\frac{1}{T}$  are valid for  $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$  as well. Specifically, introducing  $\beta_{min} \doteq \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\tau}/k_B$ , we can rewrite all the corresponding formulae in the "**measurable**" variant replacing  $1/T$  ( $\Delta(1/T)$ ) by  $\beta, \tilde{\tau}$  by  $\tilde{\beta}$  and retaining  $N_{1/T}$  ( $N_{\Delta(1/T)}$ ).

**R2.2.** Naturally, the problem of compatibility between the **measurability** definitions in quantum theory and in thermodynamics arises: is there any contradiction between **Definition 1** from Subsection 2.2 and **Definitions 3** from Subsection 2.3 ?

On the basis of the formulae (13) from Subsection 2.1 and (43) from Subsection 2.3 we can state:

***measurability** in quantum theory and **thermodynamic measurability** are completely compatible and consistent as the minimal unit of inverse temperature  $\tilde{\tau}$  is nothing else but the minimal time  $t_{min} = \tau$  up to a constant factor. And hence  $N_{1/T}, (N_{\Delta(1/T)})$  is nothing else but  $N_t, (N_{\Delta t})$  in (20). Then it is clear that  $N_t = N_{a=tc}$ .*

**R2.3** Finally, from the above formulae (50), (51) it follows that the **measurable** temperature  $T$  is varying as follows:

$$T = \frac{T_{max}}{N_{1/T}}, T \ll T_{max} \propto T_p, N_{1/T} \gg 1;$$

$$T = \frac{T_{max}}{1/2(N_{1/T} + \sqrt{N_{1/T}^2 - 1})}, T \approx T_{max} \propto T_p, N_{1/T} \approx 1. \quad (53)$$

In such a way **measurable** temperature is a **discrete quantity** but at low energies it is almost constantly varying – so, the theoretical calculations are very similar to those of the well-known continuous theory. In the reality, discreteness manifests itself in the case of high energies only.

### 3 Black Holes and Measurability

Now let us show the applicability of the results from Section 2 to a quantum theory of black holes. Consider the case of Schwarzschild's black hole. It seems logical to support the idea suggested in the Introduction to the recent overview presented by seven authors [41]: "Since for (asymptotically flat Schwarzschild) black holes the temperatures increase as their masses decrease, soon after Hawking's discovery, it became clear that a complete description of the evaporation process would ultimately require a consistent quantum theory of gravity. This is necessary as the semiclassical formulation of the emission process breaks down during the final stages of the evaporation as characterized by Planckian values of the temperature and spacetime curvature". Naturally, it is important to study the transition from low to high energies in the indicated case.

In this Section consideration is given to gravitational dynamics at low  $E \ll E_p$  and at high  $E \approx E_p$  energies in the case of the Schwarzschild black hole and in a more general case of the space with static spherically-symmetric horizon in space-time in terms of **measurable quantities** from the previous Section.

It should be noted that such spaces and even considerably more general cases have been thoroughly studied from the viewpoint of gravitational thermodynamics in remarkable works of professor T.Padmanbhan [42]–[53] (the list of references may be much longer).

First, the author has studied the above-mentioned case in [54] and from the suggested viewpoint – in [1]. But, proceeding from Section 2 of the present paper, it is possible to extend the results from [1].

In what follows we use the symbols from [53] which have been also used in [1]. The case of a static spherically-symmetric horizon in space-time is

considered, the horizon being described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -f(r)c^2 dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (54)$$

The horizon location will be given by a simple zero of the function  $f(r)$ , at the radius  $r = a$ .

Then at the horizon  $r = a$  Einstein's field equations ([53], eq.(117))

$$\frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{1}{2} f'(a)a - \frac{1}{2} \right] = 4\pi P a^2 \quad (55)$$

where  $P = T_r^r$  is the trace of the momentum-energy tensor and radial pressure. Therewith, the condition  $f(a) = 0$  and  $f'(a) \neq 0$  must be fulfilled.

On the other hand it is known that for horizon spaces one can introduce the temperature that can be identified with an analytic continuation to imaginary time. In the case under consideration ([53], eq.(116))

$$k_B T = \frac{\hbar c f'(a)}{4\pi}. \quad (56)$$

In [53] it is shown that in the initial (continuous) theory the Einstein Equation for horizon spaces in the differential form may be written as a thermodynamic identity (the first principle of thermodynamics) ([53], formula (119)):

$$\underbrace{\frac{\hbar c f'(a)}{4\pi}}_{k_B T} \underbrace{\frac{c^3}{G\hbar} d\left(\frac{1}{4} 4\pi a^2\right)}_{dS} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{c^4 da}{G}}_{-dE} = \underbrace{Pd\left(\frac{4\pi}{3} a^3\right)}_{P dV}. \quad (57)$$

where, as noted above,  $T$  – temperature of the horizon surface,  $S$  – corresponding entropy,  $E$  – internal energy,  $V$  – space volume.

It is impossible to use (57) in the formalism under consideration because, as follows from the results given in the previous section and in [1],  $da, dS, dE, dV$  are not **measurable quantities**.

First, we assume that a value of the radius  $r$  at the point  $a$  is a **primarily measurable quantity** in the sense of **Definition 1** from Subsection 2.2., i.e.  $a = a_{meas} = N_a \ell$ , where  $N_a > 0$  - integer, and the temperature  $T$  from the left-hand side of (56) is the **measurable** temperature  $T = T_{meas}$  in the

sense of **Definition 3.** from Subsection 2.2.3.

Then, in terms of **measurable** quantities, first we can rewrite (55) as

$$\frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{2\pi k_B T}{\hbar c} a_{meas} - \frac{1}{2} \right] = 4\pi P a_{meas}^2. \quad (58)$$

We express  $a = a_{meas}$  in terms of the deformation parameter  $\alpha_a$  (formula (21)) as

$$a = \ell \alpha_a^{-1/2}; \quad (59)$$

the temperature  $T$  is expressed in terms of  $T_{max} \propto T_p$  from (53).

Then, considering that  $T_p = E_p/k_B$ , equation (58) may be given as

$$\frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{\pi E_p}{\sqrt{\alpha'} N_{1/T} \hbar c} \ell \alpha_a^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_a \right] = 4\pi P \ell^2. \quad (60)$$

Because  $\ell = 2\sqrt{\alpha'} l_p$  and  $l_p = \frac{\hbar c}{E_p}$ , we have

$$\frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{2\pi E_p}{N_{1/T} \hbar c} l_p \alpha_a^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_a \right] = \frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{N_{1/T}} \alpha_a^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_a \right] = 4\pi P \ell^2. \quad (61)$$

Note that in its initial form [53] the equation (55) has been considered in a continuous theory, i.e. at low energies  $E \ll E_p$ . Consequently, in the present formalism it is implicitly meant that the "measurable counterpart" of equation (55) – (58) (or the same (60), (61)) is also initially considered at low energies, in particular,  $N_{1/T} \gg 1$ .

Let us consider the possibility of generalizing (60), (61) to high energies taking two different cases.

**3.1. Measurable case for low energies:  $E \ll E_p$ .** Due to formula (29),  $a = a_{meas} = N_a \ell$ , where the integer number is  $N_a \gg 1$  or similarly  $N_{1/T} \gg 1$ . In this case GUP, to a high accuracy, is extended to HUP (formula (31), (32)).

As this takes place,  $\alpha_a = \alpha_a(HUP)$  is a **primarily measurable** quantity (**Definition 1**),  $\alpha_a \approx N_a^{-2}$ , though taking a discrete series of values but varying smoothly, in fact *continuously*. (60) is a quadratic equation with

respect to  $\alpha_a^{1/2} \approx N_a^{-2}$  with the two parameters  $N_{1/T}^{-1}$  and  $P$ . In this terms, the equation (61) may be rewritten as

$$\frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{N_{1/T}} \alpha_a^{1/2} (HUP) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_a (HUP) \right] = 4\pi P \ell^2. \quad (62)$$

So, at low energies the equation (61) (or (62)) written for the discretely-varying  $\alpha_a$  may be considered in a continuous theory.

As a result, in the case under study we can use the basic formulae from a continuous theory considering them valid to a high accuracy.

In particular, in the notation used for *Schwarzschild's black hole* [55], we have

$$r_s = N_a \ell = \frac{2GM}{c^2}; M = \frac{N_a \ell c^2}{2G}. \quad (63)$$

As its temperature is given by the formula

$$T_H = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi GM k_B}, \quad (64)$$

at once we get

$$T_H = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi k_B N_a \ell} = \frac{\hbar c \alpha_a^{1/2}}{2\pi k_B \ell}. \quad (65)$$

Comparing this expression to the expression with high  $N_{1/T}$  ( $N_{1/T} \gg 1$ ) for temperature from the equation (53) that is involved in (58), we can find that at low energies  $E \ll E_p$ , due to comment **R2.2.** from Subsection 2.3, the number  $N_{1/T}$  is actually coincident with the number  $N_a$ :

$$N_{1/T} = N_a = \alpha_a^{-1/2} (HUP). \quad (66)$$

The substitution of the last expression from formula (69) into the quadratic equation (60) for  $\alpha_a^{1/2}$  makes it a linear equation for  $\alpha_a$  with a single parameter  $P$ .

**3.2. Measurable case for high energies:**  $E \approx E_p$ . Then, due to (30),  $a$  is the **generalized measurable** quantity  $a = a_{meas} = 1/2(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1})\ell$ ,

with the integer  $N_a \approx 1$ .

The quantity

$$\Delta a_{meas}(q) = 1/2(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1})\ell - N_a\ell = 1/2(\sqrt{N_a^2 - 1} - N_a)\ell \quad (67)$$

may be considered as a **quantum correction factor** for the measurable radius  $r = a_{meas}$ , that is infinitesimal at low energies  $E \ll E_p$  and not infinitesimal for high energies  $E \approx E_p$ .

In this case there is no possibility to replace GUP by HUP. In equation (60)  $\alpha_a = \alpha_a(GUP)$  is a **generalized measurable** quantity (**Definition 2**).

As noted in formula (53) of Comment **R2.3**, in this case the number  $N_{1/T}$  in equation (61) is replaced by  $1/2(N_{1/T} + \sqrt{N_{1/T}^2 - 1})$ , i.e. the equation is of the form

$$\frac{c^4}{G} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{1/2(N_{1/T} + \sqrt{N_{1/T}^2 - 1})} \alpha_a^{1/2}(GUP) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_a(GUP) \right] = 4\pi P \ell^2. \quad (68)$$

In so doing the theory becomes really discrete, and the solutions of (68) take a discrete series of values for every  $N_a$  or  $(\alpha_a(GUP))$  sufficiently close to 1.

In this formalism for a "quantum" Schwarzschild black hole (i.e. at high energies  $E \approx E_p$ ) formula (69) is replaced by

$$T_H(Q) = \frac{\hbar c}{\pi k_B (N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1}) \ell} = \frac{\hbar c \alpha_a^{1/2}(GUP)}{2\pi k_B \ell}. \quad (69)$$

We should make several remarks which are important.

**Remark 3.3.**

As noted in [1], the parameter  $\alpha_a = \alpha_a(HUP)$ , within constant factors, is coincident with the Gaussian curvature  $K_a$  [56] corresponding to **primary measurable**  $a = N_a \ell$ :

$$\alpha_a = \frac{\ell^2}{a^2} = \ell^2 K_a. \quad (70)$$

Because of this, the transition from  $\alpha_a(HUP)$  to  $\alpha_a(GUP)$  may be considered as a basis for "quantum corrections" to the Gaussian curvature  $K_a$  in the high-energy region  $E \approx E_p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_a(GUP) - \alpha_a(HUP) &= \ell^2 \left[ \frac{1}{1/4(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1})^2 \ell^2} - \frac{1}{N_a^2 \ell^2} \right] = \\ &= \ell^2 (K_a^Q - K_a), \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

where the "measurable quantum Gaussian curvature"  $K_a^Q$  is defined as

$$K_a^Q \doteq \frac{1}{1/4(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1})^2 \ell^2}. \quad (72)$$

In a similar way, with the use of formulae (69) and (64), we can derive a "measurable quantum correction" for the mass  $M$  of a Schwarzschild black hole at high energies.

**Remark 3.4.**

It is readily seen that a minimal value of  $N_a = 1$  is *unattainable* because in formula ((30) we can obtain a value of the length  $l$  that is below the minimum  $l < \ell$  for the momenta and energies above the maximal ones, and that is impossible.

Thus, we always have  $N_a \geq 2$ . This fact was indicated in [35],[9], however, based on the other approach.

**Remark 3.5.** It is clear that we have the following transition:

$$Eq.(68)(E \approx E_p) \xrightarrow{(N_a \approx 1) \rightarrow (N_a \gg 1)} Eq.(62)(E \ll E_p)$$

**Remark 3.6.** So, all the members of the gravitational equation (61) (and (68), respectively), apart from  $P$ , are expressed in terms of the measurable parameter  $\alpha_a$ . From this it follows that  $P$  should be also expressed in terms of the measurable parameter  $\alpha_a$ , i.e.  $P = P(\alpha_a)$ :  $E \ll E_p$ ,  $P = P[\alpha_a(HUP)]$  at low energies and  $E \approx E_p$ ,  $P = P[\alpha_a(GUP)]$  at high energies. Then, due to the above formulae, we can have for a "quantum"

Schwarzschild black hole the “horizon” gravitational equation in terms of **measurable** quantities

$$(4\pi - 1) \frac{c^4}{G} \alpha_a(GUP) = 8\pi P[\alpha_a(GUP)], \ell^2, \quad (73)$$

where  $\alpha_a(GUP)$  takes a discrete series of the values  $\alpha_a(GUP) = (1/2(N_a + \sqrt{N_a^2 - 1}))^{-2}$ ;  $N_a \geq 2$  is a small integer.

## 4 Conclusion

Taking a simple case as an example, in this paper the author has successfully expressed almost all of the members in the gravitational equation (excepting  $P$ ) in terms of **measurable** quantities. In the general case the problem at hand is as follows:

*the formulation of Gravity in terms of **measurable** quantities and also the derivation of a solution in terms of **measurable** quantities.*

Proceeding from the results obtained in [1], [2], such a ”**measurable**” Gravity – discrete theory that is practically continuous at low energies  $E \ll E_p$  and very close to the Einstein theory, though with some principal differences. By author’s opinion, in the low-energy ”**measurable**” variant of Gravity we should have no solutions without physical meaning, specifically Godel’s solution [57].

At high energies  $E \approx E_p$  this ”**measurable**” Gravity should be really a discrete theory enabling the transition to the low-energy ”**measurable**” variant of Gravity.

Still it is obvious that, to construct a **measurable** variant of Gravity at all the energy scales, in the *general case* we need both the **primarily measurable** variations  $\Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, HUP)$  (formula (29)) and the **generalized-measurable** variations  $\Delta p(N_{\Delta x}, GUP)$  from formula (30). The author believes that such construction should be realized jointly with a construction of a **measurable** variant for Quantum Theory (QT).

### Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] A.E.Shalyt-Margolin, Minimal Length and the Existence of Some Infinitesimal Quantities in Quantum Theory and Gravity, *Adv. High Energy Phys.*, **2014** (2014), 8.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/195157>
- [2] Alexander Shalyt-Margolin, Minimal Length, Measurability and Gravity, *Entropy*, **18(3)** (2016), 80.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e18030080>
- [3] Hans Grauert and Ingo Lieb, *Differential Und Integralrechnung*, (In German) Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Geidelberg, New York, 1967 (In German).  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-36708-7>
- [4] H. Sagan, *Introduction to the calculus of variations*, Dover publications, Inc., N.Y., 1993.
- [5] Haret C. Rosu, *Classical Mechanics*, graduate course, Guanajuato, Mexico : September 1999, arXiv:physics/9909035 [physics.ed-ph].
- [6] D. Ter Haar, *Elements of Hamiltonian Mechanics*, (In German) University Reader in Theoretical Physics Oxford, Pergamon Press.
- [7] M.E. Peskin, D.V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1995.
- [8] R.M.Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill, USA, 1984.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226870373.001.0001>
- [9] A.E. Shalyt-Margolin and A.Ya. Tregubovich, Deformed density matrix and generalized uncertainty relation in thermodynamics, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **19** (2004), 71–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0217732304012812>
- [10] W. Heisenberg, Uber den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik. *Z. Phys.*, **43** (1927), 172–198. (In German)  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01397280>

- [11] N.Bohr, Faraday Lectures pp. 349-384, 376-377 Chemical Society, London (1932)
- [12] Heisenberg, W. Der Teil und Das Ganze ch 9 R.Piper, Munchen, 1969.
- [13] Lindhard,J. Complementarity between energy and temperature. In: *The Lesson of Quantum Theory*; Ed. by J. de Boer, E.Dal and O.Ulfbeck North-Holland, Amsterdam 1986.
- [14] Lavenda, B. Statistical Physics: a Probabilistic Approach. J.Wiley and Sons, N.Y., 1991.
- [15] Mandelbrot,B. An Outline of a Purely a Phenomenological of Statistical Thermodynamics: I.Canonical Ensembles. *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory* **1956**, *IT-2*, 190–198.
- [16] Rosenfeld L. In *Ergodic theories*; Ed. by P.Caldrirola Academic Press, N.Y., 1961.
- [17] Schlogl,F. Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relation. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1988**, *49*, 679–687.
- [18] Uffink J.; Lith-van Dis,J. Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relation. *Found. of Phys.***1999**, *29*, 655–679.
- [19] G. A. Veneziano, Stringy nature needs just two constants, *Europhys. Lett.*, **2** (1986), 199–211. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/2/3/006>
- [20] D.Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. A. Veneziano, Can spacetime be probed below the string size? *Phys. Lett. B*, **216** (1989), 41–47. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(89\)91366-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)91366-x)
- [21] E.Witten, Reflections on the fate of spacetime, *Phys. Today* **49** (1996), 24–28.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.881493>
- [22] R. J. Adler and D. I. Santiago, On gravity and the uncertainty principle, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **14** (1999), 1371–1378. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0217732399001462>

- [23] D.V.Ahluwalia, Wave-particle duality at the Planck scale: Freezing of neutrino oscillations, *Phys. Lett. A*, **A275** (2000), 31–35.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0375-9601\(00\)00578-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0375-9601(00)00578-8)
- [24] D.V.Ahluwalia, Interface of gravitational and quantum realms, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **A17** (2002), 1135–1145.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s021773230200765x>
- [25] M. Maggiore, The algebraic structure of the generalized uncertainty principle, *Phys. Lett. B*, **319** (1993), 83–86.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(93\)90785-g](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90785-g)
- [26] M. Maggiore, Black Hole Complementarity and the Physical Origin of the Stretched Horizon, *Phys. Rev. D*, **49** (1994), 2918–2921.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.49.2918>
- [27] M. Maggiore, Generalized Uncertainty Principle in Quantum Gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, **304** (1993), 65–69.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(93\)91401-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)91401-8)
- [28] S.Capozziello,G.Lambiase and G.Scarpetta, The Generalized Uncertainty Principle from Quantum Geometry, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, **39** (2000), 15–22.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1003634814685>
- [29] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R.B. Mann, Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation, *Phys. Rev. D*, **52** (1995), 1108–1118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.52.1108>
- [30] K.Nozari,A.Etemadi, Minimal length, maximal momentum and Hilbert space representation of quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. D*, **85** (2012), 104029. 1118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.85.104029>
- [31] Farmany,A. Probing the Schwarzschild horizon temperature. *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **2009**, *40*, 1569–1574.
- [32] Carroll,R. Fluctuations, Information, Gravity and the Quantum Potential. *Fundam.Theor.Phys.148*, Springer, N.Y., 2006; 454pp.

- [33] S.F. Hassan and M.S.Martin Sloth, Trans-Planckian Effects in Inflationary Cosmology and Modified Uncertainty Principle, *Nucl.Phys.B* **2003** 674 434-458 [hep-th/0204110]
- [34] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevskii, *Relativistic Quantum Theory*, Pergamon, Oxford, UK, 1971.
- [35] A.E. Shalyt-Margolin, J.G. Suarez, Quantum mechanics at Planck scale and density matrix, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, **12** (2003), 1265–1278.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0218271803003700>
- [36] A.E. Shalyt-Margolin, Non-unitary and unitary transitions in generalized quantum mechanics, new small parameter and information problem-solving, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **19** (2004), 391–403.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0217732304013155>
- [37] A.E. Shalyt-Margolin, Pure states, mixed states and Hawking problem in generalized quantum mechanics, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **19** (2004), 2037–2045.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0217732304015312>
- [38] A.E. Shalyt-Margolin, The universe as a nonuniform lattice in finite-volume hypercube: I. Fundamental definitions and particular features, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, **13** (2004), 853–864.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0218271804004918>
- [39] A.E. Shalyt-Margolin, The Universe as a nonuniform lattice in the finite-dimensional hypercube. II. Simple cases of symmetry breakdown and restoration, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **20** (2005), 4951–4964.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/s0217751x05022895>
- [40] L. Faddeev, Mathematical view of the evolution of physics, *Priroda*, **5** (1989), 11–16.
- [41] Gerard 't Hooft, Steven B. Giddings, Carlo Rovelli, Piero Nicolini, Jonas Mureika, Matthias Kaminski, and Marcus Bleicher, The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly of Gravity and Information, [arXiv:1609.01725v1 [hep-th] 6 Sep 2016]

- [42] T. Padmanabhan, Classical and Quantum Thermodynamics of horizons in spherically symmetric spacetimes, *Class.Quant.Grav.*, vol.19, pp. 5387–5408,2002.
- [43] T. Padmanabhan, A new perspective on gravity and dynamics of space-time, *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2263–2270, 2005.
- [44] T. Padmanabhan, The holography of gravity encoded in a relation between entropy, horizon area, and action for gravity, *General Relativity and Gravitation*, vol. 34, no. 12, pp. 2029– 2035, 2002.
- [45] T. Padmanabhan, Holographic gravity and the surface term in the Einstein-Hilbert action, *Brazilian Journal of Physics.*, vol.35,no.2,pp.362–372,2005.
- [46] T. Padmanabhan, “Gravity: a new holographic perspective,” *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1659–1676, 2006.
- [47] A. Mukhopadhyay and T. Padmanabhan, Holography of gravitational action functionals, *Physical Review D*, vol. 74, no. 12, Article ID 124023, 15 pages, 2006.
- [48] T. Padmanabhan, Dark energy and gravity, *General Relativity and Gravitation*, vol. 40, no. 2-3, pp. 529–564, 2008.
- [49] T. Padmanabhan and A. Paranjape, Entropy of null surfaces and dynamics of spacetime, *Physical Review D*, vol. 75, no. 6, Article ID 064004, 16 pages, 2007.
- [50] T. Padmanabhan, Gravity as an emergent phenomenon: a conceptual description, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 939, pp. 114–123, 2007.
- [51] T. Padmanabhan, Gravity and the thermodynamics of horizons, *Physics Reports*, vol. 406, no. 2, pp. 49–125, 2005.

- [52] A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar, and T. Padmanabhan, Thermodynamic route to field equations in Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, *Physical Review D*, vol. 74, no. 10, Article ID 104015, 9 pages, 2006.
- [53] T. Padmanabhan, Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: new insights, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 73, Article ID 046901, 2010.
- [54] A. E. Shalyt-Margolin, Quantum theory at planck scale, limiting values, deformed gravity and dark energy problem, *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, vol. 21, no. 2, Article ID 1250013, 20 pages, 2012.
- [55] Valery P.Frolov and Igor D.Novikov, *Black Hole Physics: Basic Concepts and New Developments*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [56] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomozu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, V.II, Interscience Publishers, New York-London-Sydney 1969.
- [57] K. Godel, An example of a new type of cosmological solutions of Einstein's field equations of gravitation, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **21** (1949), 447.