

RESEARCHARTICLE

Radio Jets and Galaxies as Cosmic String Probes

Fa-Bo Feng¹

¹*Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China*

E-mail: fengfabo@gmail.com

Revised January, 2011; accepted May 4, 2011

The lensing effect of a cosmic string is studied, and some new methods are proposed to detect the cosmic string. The technique for using jets as extended gravitational lensing probes was firstly explored by Kronberg. We use the "alignment-breaking parameter" η_G as a sensitive indicator of gravitational distortion by a wiggly cosmic string. Then, we applied the non-constant deflection angle to jets, and η_G of a specific jet is just related to the projected slope of the jet. At least three jets in the sample of Square Kilometer Array (SKA) would have significant signals ($\eta_G > 10^\circ$) if the wiggly infinite cosmic string existed. The distortion of elliptical object is also studied and used to do a statistical research on directions of axes and ellipticities of galaxies. In the direction of the string, we find that galaxies appear to be rounder for an observer and the distribution of apparent ellipticity changes correspondingly. Ellipticity distribution of current SDSS spiral sample has the signal-to-noise ratio up to 8.48 which is large enough for astronomical observations. The future survey, such as Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) and Dark Energy Survey (DES), would weaken the requirement of special geometry in the data processing. As a result, all kinds of distributions, including ellipticity axis distribution, would serve as probes to detect wiggly strings in the near future. In brief, if a wiggly cosmic string existed, these signals would be convenient to be observed with the future weak lensing survey or other surveys in deep space. If there was no lensing signal in these distributions, it would give the upper limit of the abundance of infinite strings.

Keywords gravitational lensing, jets and outflows, cosmic string, galaxy

PACS numbers 98.80.Cq, 98.62.-g, 98.62.Nx, 95.30.Sf

1 Introduction

A cosmic string, as a kind of topological defect, is generated by symmetry breaking of unified theories, such as fundamental string theory, brane inflation, M-theory, etc [1, 2, 3]. The detection of a cosmic string can be used to constrain different inflation models because strings were formed in the symmetry-breaking phase transition of some special inflation models, such as brane inflation [4, 5, 6]. Cosmic string gas may produce observable non-Gaussianity if the string scale is at TeV scale [7]. Additionally, as a special kind of "dark matter", a cosmic string can produce cosmic ray positrons by the cosmic string cusp annihilation process, which might explain the positron excess of PAMELA and ATIC experiment [8]. Moreover, a cosmic string may play a sub-dominant role

in the formation of large structure of the universe to some extent [9, 10].

The gravitational properties of strings are studied with the weak-field approximation. According to the metric of a string, the light is deflected by an angle of $4\pi GU$. Thus, this gravitational effect could give rise to double images of background cosmic objects within the angle of order $\delta\phi$ from the string [11, 12, 13]. Their gravitational effect is measured by a dimensionless parameter GU/c^2 , which is about 10^{-6} for GUT (grand unified theory) strings. Due to the gravitational effect caused by a cosmic string, many observations can be used to probe the properties of a cosmic string. The current result is $GU/c^2 < 0.7 \times 10^{-6}$, which is obtained by CMB constraints [14]. First LIGO search for gravitational wave bursts from cosmic strings also give nearly the same up-

per limit [15]. A cosmic string makes the space-time around it to be a conical space-time, resulting in the appearance of undistorted double images [16, 17, 18]. Micro-lensing provided a way to detect lensing signal even when the image splitting is too small to resolve with astronomical measurements [19]. With the development of the technology of weak lensing, the weak lensing effect produced by a cosmic string could also be a useful tool to constrain the properties of a cosmic string [20, 21].

In this paper, we consider the weak lensing effect caused by a cosmic string, and polarized jets and elliptical objects are used as the background sources. Using the fact that the polarization angle of a background radio jet is not changed by gravitational distortion [22], it is convenient to define an "alignment-breaking parameter" η_G [23], which is sensitive to gravitational distortion. This method was used to analyze the polarization of the jet 3C 9 and give the redshift and properties of lens galaxies [24]. Theoretical modeling of weakly lensed polarized sources is developed [25] for the prediction of effects of gravitational lensing. As promising as it is, gravitational lensing is hampered by the lack of the knowledge of the intrinsic morphology of the sources being lensed. However, the polarization of the source could supply a useful probe to determine the intrinsic morphology of the source. Nevertheless, the lens employed by them are all spherical galaxies or elliptical ones. To our knowledge, this is the first investigation of weak lensing effect of a cosmic string with polarized sources as background objects. Once the background galaxy was lensed by a wiggly cosmic string, the ellipticity axis distribution (EAD), and the ellipticity distribution (ED) would be changed. Though there might be just several infinite straight strings [18], they would distort the light passing by it with any impact parameter. For a straight string, the deflection angle of an image is constant. Actually, there is no absolutely straight string. And the wiggly string would produce non-constant deflection angle which would result in the distortion of background sources [20]. In this paper, we will consider different kinds of sources as background objects to probe the property of the wiggly cosmic string.

The paper is organized as follows: First, three basic concepts, alignment-breaking parameter, EAD and ED, are introduced and the deflection angle is deduced. Second, we use the "alignment-breaking-angle" to study lensing effect of a straight jet. EAD and ED of galaxies are also studied. Then, we put the formulas into simulations and provide the strategy to probe the signals produced by weak lensing effects of a cosmic string. In the part of conclusion, we propose some statistical methods to search for such signals in the future surveys.

2 Theory

2.1 Basic concepts

2.1.1 Alignment-breaking parameter η_G

In order to measure the signals yielded by a cosmic string, we should at first define a parameter η_G [23] as the angle between the direction of observed polarization and the tangential vector of a jet:

$$\eta_G(\vec{\theta}) = \psi(\vec{\theta}) - \chi_0(\vec{\theta}) + \kappa(\vec{\theta}) \quad (1)$$

where $\psi(\vec{\theta})$ is the angle of tangent vector relative to the reference line of the jet at the projected position $\vec{\theta}$. χ_0 is the polarization vector, and κ is some intrinsic deviation from a perfect jet (see Fig.1). Define $\vec{e}_{img}, \vec{e}_p, \vec{e}_{real}, \vec{e}_{ref}$ as the unit tangent vector of the fiducial line of the image, direction of polarization, fiducial line of the source, direction of reference line, and the vectors corresponding to ψ, χ_0, κ are

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\kappa} &= \vec{e}_p - \vec{e}_{real}, \\ \vec{\chi}_0 &= \vec{e}_p - \vec{e}_{ref}, \\ \vec{\psi} &= \vec{e}_{img} - \vec{e}_{ref}, \\ \vec{\eta}_G &= \vec{e}_{img} - \vec{e}_{real}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

so $\eta_G = \psi + \kappa - \chi_0$. η_G is a measure of the projection of shear onto the jet itself.

In equation (2), the polarization, χ_0 , tangent angle, ψ , and the intrinsic deviation of the polarization from the tangent angle, κ_0 , must be measured along the jet or lobe. However, the intrinsic polarization of the source, χ_0 , is coupled with the Faraday Rotation, which causes the polarization of a photon to rotate as it traverses a magneto-ionic medium. By measuring Faraday rotation at different wave lengths, one could eliminate the variation of η_G brought by Faraday rotation. The true value of rotation measure is needed if we want to rule out the constant part produced by Faraday rotation [26]. In addition, the value of κ is a source-dependent angle that allows for a source-intrinsic variation of η_G . Fortunately, the polarization of a jet is highly aligned with the local direction of the jet [27, 28, 29]. Simulations of the intrinsic magnetic field of a radio jet are conducted by using MHD model of a jet [27]. Constrains on κ would require the observation of a low-redshift sample of sources, and even then, κ could only be understood in a statistical sense [26]. Therefore, with the mature theory of the magnetic field of jets, we could independently derive the value of $\kappa(\vec{\theta})$ and consequently use η_G to reveal the strength of the gravitational bending varies along the jet. That is, observing a jet and its polarization could confirm the value of η_G , which is deduced from the gravitational effect of a cosmic string. Thus, η_G serves as another parameter to reveal the property of a cosmic string.




Fig. 1 The relation of the alignment-breaking parameter, η_G , to other angles κ , ψ , χ_0 . The dotted (dashed) line represents polarization (image), which is the projection of the direction of polarization on the image plane .

2.1.2 The ellipticity and ellipticity axis angle of the galaxy

For a spiral or elliptical galaxy, the intrinsic shape might be simplified into a triaxial ellipsoid, $x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 + z^2/c^2 = 1$ with $a \geq b \geq c$. The galaxies can be divided into three kinds: oblate galaxy ($a=b$), prolate galaxy ($b=c$), and triaxial galaxy ($a \neq b \neq c$). Define $\gamma \equiv c/a$ as the thickness of a galaxy and $\varepsilon \equiv 1 - b/a$ as the intrinsic ellipticity, we can convert the intrinsic coordinate system to the observer's coordinate system and compute the resulting apparent axis ratio $\epsilon \equiv b_{ob}/a_{ob}$ and the ellipticity axis angle (EAA) Ψ of a triaxial galaxy [30]:

$$\epsilon = \left[\frac{X + Z - \sqrt{(X - Z)^2 + Y^2}}{X + Z + \sqrt{(X - Z)^2 + Y^2}} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (3)$$

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{Y}{X - Z} \right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= [1 - \varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon) \sin^2 \varphi] \cos^2 \theta + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta, \\ Y &= \varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon) \cos \theta \sin 2\varphi, \\ Z &= 1 - \varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon) \cos^2 \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

and θ, ϕ is the spherical coordinates of the line of sight. The photometric analysis of Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) provide a model-free measures of the axis ratio by the technique of adaptive moments. This method guarantees the accuracy of the values of a_{ob}, b_{ob} , which are the long and short semi-major axis lengths. By fitting models to the shape measurement of SDSS Data Release 1 (DR1), the observed apparent axis ratios could be modeled by adopting a Gaussian distribution of γ and

a log-normal distribution of ε [31]:

$$f(\gamma) \propto \exp \left[-\frac{(\gamma - \mu_\gamma)^2}{2\sigma_\gamma^2} \right]^{1/2} \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad (5)$$

$$f(\varepsilon) \propto \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp \left[-\frac{(\ln \varepsilon - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad \ln \varepsilon < 0. \quad (6)$$

With four parameters $\mu_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma, \mu, \sigma$ estimated from the data, we could describe the shapes of elliptical galaxies and spiral galaxies with the distribution of apparent axis ratio.

2.2 Deflection angle by a wiggly cosmic string

We quote the result of ref.[32] for the deflection of a light ray by a static wiggly cosmic string,

$$\vec{\alpha} = -\vec{\nabla}_\perp \int_{-D_L}^{D_S - D_L} \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3, \quad (7)$$

where D_S and D_L are separately the distance from the observer to the source and to the cosmic string. Notice that the analytic expression of the deflection angle does not include the constant deflection angle which is of no use for distorted background objects. The deflecting potential is given by

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2G \int \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu}{|\vec{x} - \vec{r}|} dl. \quad (8)$$

Here G is the gravitational constant, \vec{x} is a reference point, $k^\mu \equiv dx^\mu/d\lambda$ is the tangent vector to a geodesic g_o which is chosen as reference (see Fig.2). Also, $\vec{r}(\ell)$ is a parametrization of the string, in terms of which

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} T_\lambda^\lambda, \quad (9)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric, i.e. $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(- + + +)$. Generally speaking, the stress-energy tensor of a cosmic string is of the form

$$T^{\mu\nu} = Uu^\mu u^\nu - Tv^\mu v^\nu, \quad (10)$$

where U is the energy per unit length, T is the tension which doesn't equal to U for a wiggly string, u^μ is the 4-velocity of the observer (u^μ is also a timelike vector, i.e. $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$) and v^μ is a spacelike ($v^\mu v_\mu = 1$) unit vector tangent to the string world sheet. Let us consider a tilted static cosmic string aligned as Fig.2 illustrated, and the two unit vector are

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &= (1, 0, 0, 0), \\ v^\mu &= (0, -\sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\phi, -\cos\theta \sin\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We take the tangent vector of the reference geodesic to be $k^\mu = (1, 0, 1, 0)$. From (9)-(11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu \\ &= (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} T_\lambda^\lambda \eta_{\mu\nu}) k^\mu k^\nu \\ &= U - T \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

If we take $\theta = 0$, then $\mathcal{F} = U - T \sin^2 \phi$, which is the result of ref. [32]. When $U(\ell)$ and $T(\ell)$ are constants, the deflecting potential, after integration over x_3 , reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -4G(U - T \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \theta) \ln(\bar{x}^2 - \\ &(-x_1 \sin\theta \sin\phi + x_2 \cos\phi - x_3 \cos\theta \sin\phi)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In the limit where $|x_3| \gg |x_1|, |x_2|$ and under the condition that $\{\theta, \phi\}$ is not very close to $\{0, \pi/2\}$, the deflection angle, i.e. $\vec{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, is deduced from (7). However, the form of it is complicated, so we have plotted the 3D graph of $\vec{\alpha}(\theta, \phi)$ (see Fig.3) after taking $GU = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$, $GT = 0.9 \times 10^{-6}$. Reasonably, we take $p \equiv D_L/D_S = 1/2$ and $|x_1|/D_S = |x_2|/D_S = 10^{-6}$.

Illustrated by Fig.3, the deflection angles, α_1 and α_2 , are sensitive to the change of $\{\theta, \phi\}$ especially when they come close to $\{0, \pi/2\}$. Additionally, the signs of the two deflection angles are almost opposite. However, this result is limited by its assumption of the value range of $\{\theta, \phi\}$. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the form of deflection angle in the limit where $\{\theta, \phi\} \rightarrow \{0, \pi/2\}$ in order to enhance the value of deflection angle. After definition of $\{\theta, \phi\} \equiv \{\Delta\theta, \pi/2 + \Delta\phi\}$ and the limitation where $\Delta\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta\phi \rightarrow 0$, the form of deflecting potential of (8) reduces to

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 4G(U-T) \ln[(x_3 \Delta\phi + x_2)^2 + (x_3 \Delta\theta + x_1)^2], \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ should be larger than 10^{-6} which makes $x_3 \Delta\theta$ and $x_3 \Delta\phi$ much larger than x_1 and x_2 .

Then, we recall the form of deflection angle in (7), which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \alpha_2 \\ &= -\frac{4G(T-U)(2x_2 \Delta\theta \Delta\phi + x_1(\Delta\theta^2 - \Delta\phi^2)) D_S}{(\Delta\theta^2 + \Delta\phi^2)^2 D_L (D_L - D_S)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From the equations above, we find that the deflection angle is proportional to $(\Delta\theta^2 + \Delta\phi^2)^{-1}$ approximately. To compare the deflection angle deduced from (8) with that deduced from (13), we have plotted the graphs of $\vec{\alpha}$ after adopting the above approximation (see Fig.3). As a result, we find that the general shapes of them are similar with those of Fig.3. However, the sign of the two deflection angle is not opposite now. Because we take $x_1/D_S = x_2/D_S = 10^{-6}$ and the deflection angle is up to 10^{-7} , the distortions of the background objects are large enough to be observed. The statistics of background jets and galaxies would reveal the secrets of the wiggly cosmic string.

3 Simulations

3.1 Radio jets

Considering the statistical errors and systematic errors, we can only extract the signal of $\eta_G > 10^\circ$ (i.e., $\eta_G > 0.175 \text{ rad}$) [26] from the polarization analyzing of radio jets. So we should take the approximation that $\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi \rightarrow 0$ to yield large distortion angle.

First, we have parameterized the configuration of the radio jet as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= r \cos\beta + x_{1c}, \\ x_2 &= r \sin\beta + x_{2c}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where r is the distance from reference point to the center of the jet, and β is the angle between its vector and the axis of x_1 . The distortion could be deduced as $x'_1 = x_1 + D_S \alpha_1$ and $x'_2 = x_2 + D_S \alpha_2$. The corresponding η_G reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_G &\equiv \Delta\beta \\ &= \arctan[(4G(T-U)(\Delta\theta^2 - \Delta\phi^2) + (8G(T-U)\Delta\theta\Delta\phi \\ &+ p(\Delta\theta^2 + \Delta\phi^2)^2 - p^2(\Delta\theta^2 + \Delta\phi^2)^2) \tan\beta) \\ &/ (4G(T-U)(\Delta\theta^2 - \Delta\phi^2) - (-1+p)p(\Delta\theta^2 + \Delta\phi^2)^2 \\ &+ 8G(T-U)\Delta\theta\Delta\phi \tan\beta)] - \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From the equation above, we find that η_G is independent with the coordinates of the points on the jet, i.e. η_G is constant for a specific straight jet. However, η_G is sensitive to the inclined angle β . The shape of η_G is different with the sinusoidal η_G shape yielded by spherical lens [23]. However, cosmological birefringence could




Fig. 2 The wiggly string leads to some distortion of the straight jet.




Fig. 3 The left graph shows the 3D plot of α_1 and the middle one shows that of α_2 . Notice that the two graphs are all plotted in the limitation where $\{\theta, \phi\}$ is not close to $\{0, \pi/2\}$. The right one illustrate the value of $\bar{\alpha}$ when $\{\theta, \phi\} \rightarrow \{0, \pi/2\}$, where $\{\theta, \phi\} \equiv \{\Delta\theta, \pi/2 + \Delta\phi\}$.




Fig. 4 The left graph shows the 2D plot of η_G and the right one shows the log plot of η_G as a function of β . Notice that the two graphs are all plotted by taking integer k from 0 to 40 colored from red to blue.




Fig. 5 The distribution of η_G is illustrated by this graph in which log-log coordinates make the graph more appropriate. There are about 100,000 points for statistics.

also result in a rotation of the polarization of photons, which could yield a nonzero η_G [33]. Though this kind of effect may appear similar with that caused by a cosmic string, they have different features. Birefringence is a cosmological effect that could be detected in the whole universe with nearly the same order of magnitude. That is, if $\eta_G \neq 0$ for one jet, it would also be founded in other jets. However, the signature given by a wiggly cosmic string is local. Of course, other sources, such as gravitational waves and rotational black holes may also yield nonzero η_G , but their shapes are not constant for different points on the jet [22, 34]. Additionally, with current resolution of the radio telescope, such as SKA, the main errors come from the intrinsic noise of the polarization κ , the error of choosing the anchor points, and the residual noise after extracting the effect of Faraday Rotation measurement (RM). Considering these factors, we can only extract the signal of $\eta_G > 10^\circ$ [26] from the polarization analyzing of radio jets. Thus, it is important to yield large enough signals by carefully tune the parameters. With current observation, the properties of the cosmic string are parameterized by $GU = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ and $GT = 0.9 \times 10^{-6}$; and the D_L to D_S ratio p is taken to be 1/2. We could plot the 2D graph series with different ranges of $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ (see Fig. 4). From these two graphs, we find that there would be signals large enough to be observed when $\Delta\theta = \Delta\phi \simeq 0.001$. Notice that the value of the signal is always proportionate to $G(U - T)$. And η_G is sensitive to the angle of inclination β , which also give us the information of the configuration of the jet. In order to get a general idea of the probability for the detection of a cosmic string through analyzing η_G , we

put the distribution of η_G into programming and draw the graph by Mathematica. $\Delta\theta, \Delta\alpha$ are randomly chosen in the range of $[-0.005, 0.005]$. Larger interval result in a little proportion of useful points though the sample is large at the interval; and a smaller interval would lead to a very small sample which is not appropriate for statistics. The number of background objects was taken to be 100,000, which calls for 100,000 times of random choices of these parameters in their intervals. The parameters of cosmic string are set to be the same as the above ones, and the lower limit of rotation angle or η_G is taken to be $\eta_G > 10^\circ$ (i.e., $\eta_G > 0.175 \text{ rad}$). After that, the final result is revealed by Fig.5.

In this histogram, we find about 1/5 jets would yield rotation angles larger than 10° when $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\alpha$ are at the interval of $[-0.005, 0.005]$. Notice that 100,000 jets in such a small interval do not accord with reality. We adopt such a large sample is just for getting the probability of producing large signals. Though, the population in such an interval is small currently, SKA may change the situation. It would carry out an All-Sky RM Survey, which could yield RMs for approximately 2×10^4 pulsars and 2×10^7 compact polarized extragalactic sources in about a year of observing time [35]. In this All-Sky RM survey, we may get useful information of the intrinsic polarization angle of pulsars who have jets and lobes. To be on the safe side, we consider 2×10^6 radio objects as an ideal sample. If the wiggly cosmic string or the string web exists, the population of the useful jets in the subsample of the right area is $1/5 \times (0.01)^2 \times 2 \times 10^6 / (4\pi) \simeq 3$. And in other areas of the sky where $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ larger than 0.01, there would be nearly no observably signal.

Notice that these jets are characterized by constant rotational angle η_G .

In sum, the value of η_G is determined by the relative position of the jet with respect to the cosmic string and the inclined angle of the string. The position where η_G would be enhanced is in the direction of the string; and the larger the inclined angle is, the larger the deflection angle is. Additionally, constant η_G resulted from the straight jet is easily to be extracted from other noises. If η_G is irregular, it would give us information about the configuration of the jet. In the near future, with the improvement of the accuracy of RM measurement and the maturation of theoretical model of the intrinsic magnetic field in the jet, η_G would show its strong power of detecting the wiggly string.

3.2 Galaxies

In order to study the cosmic string with current large scale survey, it is best to use galaxies as background sources. And the weak gravitational effect from a cosmic string should be analyzed with statistical method. Additionally, the method used here is a little different from that used in the statistics for the cosmic shear. The following method only abstracts the local information, and the study of cosmic shear aims at getting statistical information. The ellipticity axis distribution (EAD) of circular 2D sources was used to examine the shear signature of nearly circular sources by Dyda and Brandenberger [20]. In our paper, EAD might also be a good parameter to describe the distortion of the EAA of an arbitrary galaxy in the data processing of the future survey. Additionally, we used the ellipticity distribution (ED) as a probe for the cosmic string detection. Rather than using the circular object as the source, we extended the shape of the source to be a 3D triaxial object. Then we project the 3D image onto the surface perpendicular to the viewer. Points on the surface of the elliptical object have coordinates: $(x_{1c} + x_1, x_{2c} + x_2, x_{3c} + x_3)$.

In the following formulas, we use $\epsilon \equiv b_{ob}/a_{ob}$ to be the apparent axis ratio. And the luminosity density l is

$$l = l(a_v), \quad \text{where } a_v \equiv \sqrt{x_0^2 + \frac{y_0^2}{\zeta^2} + \frac{z_0^2}{\xi^2}}. \quad (18)$$

The above formula is used by Stark and Binney to calculate the apparent axis ratio [36, 30]. However, we adopted a different transformation from the two-parameter transformation by them. They just use θ, ϕ to fix the system of the observer by making x' axis lie in the O-xy plane [30]. we adopted α, β, γ to describe the system of the observer. The system of $\{x_0, y_0, z_0\}$ is a system in which the axes of x_0, y_0, z_0 are aligned with the principal body axes of the ellipsoid. After transformation from this system to the coordinate system of the

observer, their coordinates would reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x_1 \cos \beta \cos \gamma - x_3 \sin \beta + x_2 \cos \beta \sin \gamma, \\ y_0 &= x_3 \cos \beta \sin \alpha + x_1 (\cos \gamma \sin \alpha \sin \beta - \cos \alpha \sin \gamma) \\ &\quad + x_2 (\cos \alpha \cos \gamma + \sin \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma), \\ z_0 &= x_3 \cos \alpha \cos \beta + x_1 (\cos \alpha \cos \gamma \sin \beta + \sin \alpha \sin \gamma) \\ &\quad + x_2 (-\cos \gamma \sin \alpha + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

With the above transformation, we could get the elliptical radius, $a_v(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, in the coordinate system of observer. With the method explored by Stark and Binney [36, 30], the apparent axis ratio of the ellipsoid on the sky will be easily deduced. Due to the complexity of formulas, the calculations are left for the computer to do. At last, the change of the ellipticity distribution (ED) is deduced from the distortion of ϵ , $\Delta\epsilon = \tilde{\epsilon}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, \xi, \theta, \phi) - \epsilon(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, \xi)$. Therefore, both ED and EAD are determined by distributions of these parameters. Among these parameters, the distributions of α, β, γ are determined by the random distribution of points on an unit sphere; θ and ϕ are replaced by $\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi$, which obey the flat distribution; and ζ, ξ obey Normal and Log-Normal distributions respectively.

It is obvious that $\Delta\epsilon$ and η_G are determined by the value of $\vec{\alpha}$. That is, inserting (15) in $a_v(x_1 + D_S\alpha_1, x_2 + D_S\alpha_2, x_3)$, we deduce the distorted apparent axis ratio (3) and EAA (4). $\Delta\epsilon$ would also contribute to the cosmic shear if a wiggly cosmic string existed. The shear signal yielded by the cosmic string is subtle when $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi/2$. However, it may contribute a little fraction to the cosmic shear and result in systematic errors. The shear field of the wiggly cosmic string should also be studied for this reason [21, 37]. If $\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi \rightarrow 0$, $\Delta\epsilon$ would be proportional to $(\Delta\theta^2 + \Delta\phi^2)^{-1}$ and increase even to the order of 0.1. It will be interesting to find these statistical signals produced by the network of strings in the future weak lensing survey.

Similarly, with the statistical method used in the last section, we could get the variation of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) with the length of the interval of $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$, i.e. $[-\delta/2, \delta/2] \equiv [\Delta\theta_{min}, \Delta\theta_{max}] \equiv [-0.001k, 0.001k]$. It is necessary to study SNRs of different modes (specified by integer k) of sky survey to know the feasibility of this method. Because the signal of ED/EAD and the noise of the sample in the area of δ^2 increase with δ^{-2} simultaneously, we should find the optimal δ , i.e. δ_{opt} , to make SNR highest. The specific processes are as follows:

First, considering ED/EAD without a string (original ED/EAD), we produced a realization of ellipsoids according to the distributions explored by Padilla and Strauss [38]. Here, we assume the empirical ED is ideal because the fitting error is less than the statistical error especially in the interval of apparent axis ratio, $\epsilon \in [0, 0.1]$. The population of the sample shall be calculated according to the surface number density

of the survey sample. For example, there are about 90 targets per square degree in the main galaxy sample of SDSS DR6. Thus, a realization of ED/EAD would call for about $90 \delta^2 \times 180^2/\pi^2$ times of generations of random points. The parameters included in ED/EAD are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, \xi$. α, β, γ are determined by the random distributed points on an unit sphere. γ, ζ are chosen according to the empirical ED. $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ are randomly chosen in the interval of $[-0.001k, 0.001k]$.

Second, by repeating the first step 100 times, we computed the mean and standard deviation of the expected number of original ellipsoids in each of the 10 bins. Additionally, the mean of distorted ellipsoids is also gotten simultaneously. The standard deviation is the function of δ . By the way, the reason for setting 10 bins is that the population of every bin is limited by 0.002k. Too many bins would result in large noise in every bin. At the same time, through this process, we have produced a large sample of ellipsoids distorted by the string because it is 100 times more than a single step. Such a large sample would realize ED and EAD of the lensed galaxies with small statistical errors.

Third, subtracting the original ED/EAD from the lensed ED/EAD, we extracted the useful signal. Then, divide the signal by the standard deviation of the original ED/EAD, we got SNR as a function of δ (Fig.6).

We adopted two different ED models, one of spirals and the other of ellipticals, and computed SNRs of them respectively. Due to the little contribution of fitting errors and systematic errors to the total error in the first bin, only statistical errors would pollute the signal. So we divided the signal by the statistical error to get SNR. After computing 34 SNR graphs (17 for EDs and 17 for EADs), we found that SNR of ED in the first bin, $\epsilon < 0.1$, is much larger than that of the others. However, in most of the cases, the SNR of EAD is less than 1. Thus EAD could not be used to detect the cosmic string as Dyda and Brandenberger predicted in their paper [20]. Thus, the SNR of ED in the first bin is chosen to mark the level of feasibility. In addition, we chose two surface number densities, one of which is the density of SDSS main galaxy sample and one is the lower limit of the future LSST's density. The types of galaxies also contribute to the variation of EDs and EADs. Thus, we have four optimal modes corresponding to four distributions: *2 types of galaxies* \times *2 kinds of number densities*. Each mode require one specific survey. For example, it would be better to divide the sky area into small circles, each of which has an area of δ^2 . Notice that every circle is supposed to have coinciding area; and every inscribed square of each circle should adjacent to those of surrounding circles. However, if the sample is large enough, the requirement of specific geometry might be weak. We could find, in Fig.6, four optimal modes, corresponding to δ_{opt} for

the analysis of the current and future samples.

In Fig.6, there are four SNRs for four EDs, each of which is corresponding to one specific density and one type of galaxy. It is easy to get the optimal modes of each SNR. The optimal modes for 90 *spirals/degree*², 1000 *spirals/degree*², 90 *ellipticals/degree*², 1000 *ellipticals/degree*² are $\Delta\theta_{opt} = 0.01, 0.004, 0.008, 0.002$. The optimal SNR of spirals with current number density is 8.48 which is larger than that of ellipticals 3.35. With higher density, ellipticals would also be appropriate for abstracting lensing signals because of its high SNR 18.53. The results indicate that the mode of spirals is better to be used as a probe, particularly for current samples with low number density of 90 *spirals/degree*². Though spirals suffer more extinction of dust than ellipticals do, the ED of them is still the best probe for cosmic string for its high SNR. Besides, the development of the correction for dust extinction and the division of the sample according to magnitudes would improve the accuracy of this method [38]. For the future samples with higher number density, it is better to adopt all available modes (with different δ) rather than only one mode. By the way, the large amount of data would decrease the statistic errors. Under this condition, the systematic error might be larger than the statistic error. The development of this method would require accurate models of EDs for different types of galaxies to decrease systematic errors.

However, the SNR of EAD is too low for usage. Thus we only give the error bar of the EAD with respect to each optimal mode. There is almost no SNR larger than 1. The result indicates that EAD has relatively low SNR and is not so appropriate for detecting cosmic string with current data. The low number density of the sample impedes the development of this method. However, EAD is independent with ED models because the original EAD is always flat. Besides, the release of the future data would make the statistic errors lower than systematic errors. A density of 10,000 *galaxies/degree*² might be enough for EAD to be used to abstract useful lensing signals. Thus, EAD would even be better than ED in a long run. In addition, for the reason that the future large sample shall weaken the requirement of optimal modes, the usage of different modes simultaneously would of course increase the power of these methods. Nevertheless, whatever modes we use, ED and EAD would be abnormal if the galaxies lied in the direction of the string. The position of these abnormal EDs and the special angle of EADs would reveal the true direction of the string. The reason is that the change of the population in the middle bin of EAD, i.e. $\Psi = 0$, is larger than any other bins. This special direction is parallel with x1 axis, which indicate the direction of the cosmic string.

Generally, these methods are different from the one

developed by Dyda. Dyda and Brandenberger employed special range of the ellipticity to get obvious EAD [20]. Here, we have used special position to get abnormal EAD and ED. It is easier to find specific area than to find specific ellipticity because the improvement of survey area is easier than the correction of ellipticity. Moreover, the future survey will weaken the requirement of survey modes for its lower SNR. For example, the optimal ED mode of ellipticals with high number density is $\delta_{opt} = 0.002$. Under this condition, we can just get a small solid angle of $\delta^2 180^2 / \pi^2 \approx 0.013 \text{ deg}^2$. The survey area of LSST will include $30,000 \text{ deg}^2$ in which a databases of 10 billion galaxies would be produced [39]. Thus, we can easily get 4000 galaxies in 0.013 deg^2 which would largely decrease the statistic noise and increase SNR greatly. However, if there were no significant variations of ED and EAD, we would put stronger constraints on string qualities, such as $G(U - T)$. In (14), $\bar{\alpha}$ is in inverse proportion to $G(U - T)$. That is, there would be two factors contributing to the result that no ED signals were detected. The first one is $G(U - T) \ll 10^{-6}$, and the second one is that the area covered by the survey is not large enough. With larger and deeper sky areas being surveyed, the first factor would be the main factor, and $G(U - T)$ would get stronger constraints as a result. Moreover, the probe of the cosmic string requires a cone-like and degree by degree survey with enormous galaxy sample in each degree^2 to increase signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). This kind of survey may be realized by LSST, Dark Energy Survey (DES), etc.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the weak lensing signal caused by an infinite cosmic string especially by a wiggly string. In the beginning, we utilize a sensitive indicator of gravitational distortion, η_G , to replace the non-constant distortion angle. We have studied the straight jet segment and get the probability to detect a cosmic string with current sample and current ability of radio telescope. Moreover, the shape of η_G is different from those of other effects and could be distinguished from various sources. It is impressive that η_G is constant for a straight jet, and η_G looks like a quasi-sinusoidal curve for a spherical lens. This special phenomenon could be used to detect a cosmic string. η_G is in the order of 10^{-6} , but $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ play an important part to increase the strength of η_G . Thus, the position of a jet determines the value range of η_G ; and the inclined angle of η_G determines the specific value of η_G . After simple calculation, we can determine the relationship between distribution of jets number density with η_G through simulations. It is the first time that the radio jet is employed as an extended gravitational lensing probe to find a wiggly string. It also supplies an independent method to

study the intrinsic properties of the cosmic string. Due to the weak signal of the cosmic string, large scale surveys is required, and more accurate analysis is needed to extract useful signals. It would also be interesting to use Expanded Very Large Array (EVLA) to probe the constant η_G . It would identify the special signal yielded by a wiggly string. In addition, we look forward to making use of this tool to detect other different kinds of strings. As the precision of the radio telescope improved in this decade, we would see the appliance of this technique to detect a cosmic string and other objects.

Additionally, ED could also be a sensitive probe of a wiggly string. The special area where lensed ED and EAD was found would reveal the specific direction of a wiggly cosmic string. To be specific, a wiggly string would make galaxies rounder when they lie in the direction of the string. When $\delta = \delta_{opt}$, the SNR of the ED reaches the largest value. This optimal mode is appropriate for us to use the data from SDSS to abstract string's signal. For current survey, spirals have higher SNR than ellipticals do. With the correction of extinction of spirals, we will divide the SDSS sample into small groups according to their magnitudes in order to decrease the systematic errors in the near future. However, limited by the low number density of current sample, EAD would not be appropriate for probing a cosmic string. In the long run, EAD would be better for cosmic string probing because of its independence with ED models. Moreover, future data release would make more geometric modes of the sky available for putting strong constraints on the abundance of string strongly.

To sum up, all of these probes require accurate measurements of a large sample of background objects, and ED/EAD requires special geometry of the sky for current data processing. Because ED is easily affected by other factors, such as dust extinction and reddening, dividing the galaxy sample into sub-samples is necessary for future research on cosmic string detection. It is also important to study the weak lensing effect of different kinds of cosmic strings and loops. Further, the shear and convergence fields of cosmic strings need to be carefully studied too. The next step is to use the sample of SDSS to get ED and EAD in each small sky area. With more rigorous statistical analyses of various errors, the constraints from the real data would be strong enough to give upper limit of $G(T - U)/c^2$.

Acknowledgement

Thanks to Y.F. Huang and Shi Qi for helpful input. I am also indebted to H.Brandenberger, P.P. Kronberg and the anonymous reviewer for many valuable suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural




Fig. 6 Left panels show SNRs in the first bin as functions of δ for different surface number densities and different EDs. Middle and right panels show histograms of lensed EDs and EADs with δ_{opt} . Each δ_{opt} is given by the left corresponding graph. The error bars in the first and the third (the second and the fourth) histograms are generated by choosing the point with its parameters obeying the above distributions about $n = 90 \Delta\theta^2 180^2 / \pi^2$ ($n = 1000 \Delta\theta^2 / \pi$) times and repeating this procedure 100 times. The spirals and ellipticals are created through $100 \times n$ random choices of $\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi \in [-0.5\delta, 0.5\delta]$ and $\{\zeta, \xi\}$ according to the ellipticity distributions by Padilla and Straus [38], and randomly choosing the points on a unit sphere which gives the distributions of α, β, γ .

Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10625313) and the National Basic Research Program of China(973 Program, Grant 2009CB824800).

References

- [1] T. W. B. Kibble, “Topology of cosmic domains and strings,” *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General* **9** (Aug., 1976) 1387–1398.
- [2] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, July, 2000.
- [3] J. Polchinski, “Introduction to Cosmic F- and D-Strings,” *ArXiv High Energy Physics - Theory e-prints* (Dec., 2004) , [arXiv:hep-th/0412244](#).
- [4] A. Avgoustidis, “Cosmic String Evolution in Brane Inflation,” *Journal of Physics Conference Series* **68** no. 1, (May, 2007) 012044+.
- [5] J. A. Peacock, *Cosmological Physics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, Jan., 1999.
- [6] C. Feng, X. Gao, M. Li, W. Song, and Y. Song, “Reheating and cosmic string production,” *Nuclear Physics B* **800** (Sept., 2008) 190–203, [arXiv:0707.0908 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] B. Chen, Y. Wang, W. Xue, and R. Brandenberger, “String Gas Cosmology and Non-Gaussianities,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2007) , [arXiv:0712.2477 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] R. Brandenberger, Y. Cai, W. Xue, and X. Zhang, “Cosmic Ray Positrons from Cosmic Strings,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2009) , [arXiv:0901.3474 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [9] L. Fang, “Cosmic strings and large scale structure of the universe,” *Vistas in Astronomy* **31** (1988) 531–538.
- [10] A. Achucarro and C. J. A. P. Martins, “Cosmic Strings,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov., 2008) , [arXiv:0811.1277](#).
- [11] A. Vilenkin, “Gravitational field of vacuum domain walls and strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (Feb., 1981) 852–857.
- [12] I. J. R. Gott, “Gravitational lensing effects of vacuum strings - Exact solutions,” *Astrophys. J.* **288** (Jan., 1985) 422–427.
- [13] X. Wu, “Gravitational lenses in the universe.,” *Progress in Astronomy* **8** (Mar., 1990) 3–19.
- [14] N. Bevis, M. Hindmarsh, M. Kunz, and J. Urrestilla, “Fitting Cosmic Microwave Background Data with Cosmic Strings and Inflation,” *Physical Review Letters* **100** no. 2, (Jan., 2008) 021301+, [arXiv:astro-ph/0702223](#).
- [15] et al. B. P. Abbott, “First LIGO search for gravitational wave bursts from cosmic (super)strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **80** no. 6, (Sept., 2009) 062002+, [arXiv:0904.4718](#).
- [16] L. L. Cowie and E. M. Hu, “The formation of families of twin galaxies by string loops,” *Astrophys. J., Lett.* **318** (July, 1987) L33–L38.
- [17] K. J. Mack, D. H. Wesley, and L. J. King, “Observing cosmic string loops with gravitational lensing surveys,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** no. 12, (Dec., 2007) 123515+, [arXiv:astro-ph/0702648](#).
- [18] X. Wu, “Lensing effects of a straight cosmic string.,” *Kexue Tongbao* **34** (Apr., 1989) 657–660.
- [19] K. Kuijken, X. Siemens, and T. Vachaspati, “Microlensing by cosmic strings,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **384** (Feb., 2008) 161–164, [arXiv:0707.2971](#).
- [20] S. Dyda and R. H. Brandenberger, “Cosmic Strings and Weak Gravitational Lensing,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Oct., 2007) , [arXiv:0710.1903](#).
- [21] D. B. Thomas, C. R. Contaldi, and J. Magueijo, “Rotation of Galaxies as a Signature of Cosmic Strings in Weak Lensing Surveys,” *Physical Review Letters* **103** no. 18, (Oct., 2009) 181301+, [arXiv:0909.2866](#).
- [22] C. C. Dyer and E. G. Shaver, “On the rotation of polarization by a gravitational lens,” *Astrophys. J., Lett.* **390** (May, 1992) L5–L7.
- [23] P. P. Kronberg, C. C. Dyer, E. M. Burbidge, and V. T. Junkkarinen, “A technique for using radio jets as extended gravitational lensing probes,” *Astrophys. J., Lett.* **367** (Jan., 1991) L1–L4.
- [24] P. P. Kronberg, C. C. Dyer, and H. Roeser, “Estimates of the Global Masses of Two Distant Galaxies Using a New Type of Astrophysical Mass “Laboratory”,” *Astrophys. J.* **472** (Nov., 1996) 115+.

- [25] C. R. Burns, C. C. Dyer, P. P. Kronberg, and H. Röser, “Theoretical Modeling of Weakly Lensed Polarized Radio Sources,” *Astrophys. J.* **613** (Oct., 2004) 672–681, [arXiv:astro-ph/0406400](#).
- [26] C. R. Burns, *Gravitational Lensing of Polarized Sources*. PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 2002.
- [27] R. A. Perley, A. H. Bridle, and A. G. Willis, “High-resolution VLA observations of the radio jet in NGC 6251,” *Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser.* **54** (Feb., 1984) 291–334.
- [28] N. E. B. Killeen, G. V. Bicknell, and R. D. Ekers, “The radio galaxy IC 4296 (PKS 1333 - 33). I. Multifrequency Very Large Array observations,” *Astrophys. J.* **302** (Mar., 1986) 306–336.
- [29] A. H. Bridle, R. A. Perley, and R. N. Henriksen, “Collimation and polarization of the jets in 3C 219,” *Astron. J.* **92** (Sept., 1986) 534–545.
- [30] J. Binney, “Testing for triaxiality with kinematic data,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **212** (Feb., 1985) 767–781.
- [31] B. S. Ryden, “The ellipticity of the disks of spiral galaxies,” *The Astrophysical Journal* **601** no. 1, (2004) 214. <http://stacks.iop.org/0004-637X/601/i=1/a=214>.
- [32] J.-P. Uzan and F. Bernardeau, “Cosmic strings lens phenomenology: General properties of distortion fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63** no. 2, (Jan., 2001) 023004+, [arXiv:astro-ph/0004105](#).
- [33] M. Kamionkowski, “Non-Uniform Cosmological Birefringence and Active Galactic Nuclei,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2010) , [arXiv:1004.3544](#).
- [34] V. Faraoni, “The rotation of polarization by gravitational waves,” *New Astronomy* **13** (Apr., 2008) 178–181, [arXiv:0709.0386](#).
- [35] B. M. Gaensler, R. Beck, and L. Feretti, “The origin and evolution of cosmic magnetism,” *New Astronomy Reviews* **48** (Dec., 2004) 1003–1012, [arXiv:astro-ph/0409100](#).
- [36] A. STARK, “TRIAxIAL MODELS OF BULGE OF M31,” *ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL* **213** no. 2, (1977) 368–373.
- [37] K. J. Mack, D. H. Wesley, and L. J. King, “Observing cosmic string loops with gravitational lensing surveys,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** no. 12, (Dec., 2007) 123515+, [arXiv:astro-ph/0702648](#).
- [38] N. D. Padilla and M. A. Strauss, “The shapes of galaxies in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **388** (Aug., 2008) 1321–1334, [arXiv:0802.0877](#).
- [39] Z. Ivezić, J. A. Tyson, R. Allsman, J. Andrew, R. Angel, and for the LSST Collaboration, “LSST: from Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products,” *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2008) , [arXiv:0805.2366](#).