

Self-similarity and the Foundation of Effective Field Theory

Ervin Goldfain

Abstract

We argue that the fundamental symmetries of effective field theory can be traced to the onset of *self-similarity*. In particular, the scale-free structure of fractal geometry lies at the heart of invariance principles in classical and quantum field theory.

Key words: Self-similarity, fractal geometry, generalized homogeneous functions, general covariance, self-organized criticality, Lie groups, effective field theory.

1. Introduction

The relevance of continuous symmetries in theoretical physics can hardly be overstated. Conservation laws form the backbone of both quantum and relativistic phenomenology and are organically tied with Lorentz symmetry, gauge groups and the diffeomorphism invariance of General Relativity.

A set of observables associated with a system $q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N\}$ may be naturally represented as coordinates of an N -dimensional vector \mathbf{q} in q -space. The general principle of invariance demands that the laws of physics must be independent of any origin or orientation of \mathbf{q} . Moreover, the general principle of covariance demands *form independence* of the laws of physics from any particular choice of coordinates in q -space.

The goal of this brief report is to show that fundamental symmetries of effective field theories emerge from *self-similarity*, the latter being inspired by the geometry of fractal and multifractal sets. In the context of theoretical physics, self-similarity reflects invariance of the action under the most general scale transformation including dilations, rotations, and translations [1].

2. Noether theorem from scale transformations

Consider a classical field theory with fields φ_i and action

$$S = \int d^4x L(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi) \quad (1)$$

An infinitesimal transformation of coordinates and fields can be formulated in terms of a set of $l=1,2,3,\dots,N$ infinitesimal parameters ε^l as in [2]

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \varepsilon^l \Delta_l^\mu(x) \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi_i(x) \rightarrow \varphi'_i(x') = \varphi_i(x) + \varepsilon^l \Lambda_{i,l}(\varphi, \partial\varphi) \quad (3)$$

for a given set of functions $\Delta_l^\mu(x)$ and $\Lambda_{i,l}(\varphi, \partial\varphi)$. By definition, (2) and (3) represent a continuous symmetry transformation if they leave (1) invariant.

It is readily seen that (2) and (3) may be cast in the form of *infinitesimal scale transformations* via

$$x^\mu + \varepsilon^l \Delta_l^\mu(x) = \lambda(x) x^\mu \quad (4a)$$

$$\varphi_i(x) + \varepsilon^l \Lambda_{i,l}(\varphi, \partial\varphi) = \bar{\lambda}(x) \varphi_i(x) \quad (4b)$$

In what follows, $\lambda(x)$ and $\bar{\lambda}(x)$ are referred to as *scaling operators* of magnitude substantially close to one

$$\lambda(x) = \bar{\lambda}(x) = 1 \pm \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1 \quad (5)$$

In keeping with the standard terminology, the scaling operator is deemed *local* if it is coordinate-dependent and *global* otherwise. On account of (4), the infinitesimal Noether transformations are equivalent to local scaling operations that have no effect on the action. This insight suggests that invariance under properly defined scale transformations, and in particular *self-similarity*, must lie behind the fundamental symmetries of effective field theories.

3. Self-similarity as underlying source of invariance

Consider again the set of observables $q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N\}$. Self-similarity in field theory and statistical physics enters through the *generalized homogeneous function* [3]

$$f(\rho^a q) = f(\rho^a q_1, \rho^a q_2, \dots, \rho^a q_N) = \rho f(q), \quad \rho > 0 \quad (6)$$

Some textbooks display (6) in an alternative form, using the substitution

$$\lambda = \rho^a \Rightarrow \rho = \lambda^{1/a} \quad (7)$$

which turns (6) into the condition

$$\boxed{f(q') = f(\lambda q) = \lambda^{1/a} f(q)} \quad (8)$$

Covariance is a particular embodiment of self-similarity in the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$, in which case there is no distinction between the two sides of (8),

$$\boxed{f(q') = f(\lambda q) = f(q), \quad a \rightarrow \infty} \quad (9)$$

As detailed in [4-5], self-similarity has several applications in theoretical physics. Noteworthy examples include the behavior of classical oscillators, Newtonian gravitation, the virial theorem, Renormalization Group theory, random walk models, correlations and partition functions of Statistical Physics, also local scale invariance in Quantum Field Theory (QFT) [6]. Equally important is the link between self-similarity and *self-organized criticality* (SOC), the latter being a universal paradigm for the onset of complex dynamics in systems outside equilibrium. On the same note, we mention here that surprising connections between SOC and several unsettled topics of contemporary theoretical physics have been recently brought up in [7-11, 16].

To bridge the gap between self-similarity and SOC, one starts from the generalized homogeneous function of two variables

$$f(\lambda^a q_1, \lambda^b q_2) = \lambda f(q_1, q_2), \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (10)$$

and show that (10) satisfies the power-law scaling [3]

$$f(q_1, q_2) = |q_1|^{1/a} \Phi\left(\frac{q_2}{|q_1|^{b/a}}\right) \quad (11)$$

where $\Phi(\dots)$ is called the scaling or cutoff function. (11) can be presented in the alternative form

$$\Phi\left(\frac{q_2}{|q_1|^{b/a}}\right) = f\left(1, \frac{q_2}{|q_1|^{b/a}}\right) \quad (12)$$

A generic paradigm for SOC is the *sandpile model*, whose “avalanche”-size probability distribution is given by

$$P(s, L) \sim s^{-\tau_s} \Phi\left(\frac{s}{s_c}\right), \quad s \gg 1, L \gg 1 \quad (13)$$

$$s_c(L) \sim L^D, \quad L \gg 1 \quad (14)$$

in which s is the avalanche size, L the system size and τ_s, D denote the avalanche-size exponent and its dimension, respectively. Term by term identification of (11) and (13)-(14) yields

$$a = b = -\frac{1}{\tau_s} \quad (15)$$

The key observation of this report is that the scaling operator λ of (5) can be naturally interpreted as a *phase angle*. Appealing to the concept of analytic continuation in Euclidean field theory [12-13], leads to

$$\lambda = \exp \theta = \exp[-i \theta_E] \quad (16)$$

in which θ_E is the Euclidean phase. Since any phase angle is the product of an angular frequency ω with time $t = -it_E$, we can write

$$\theta = \omega t = \omega(-it_E) = -i\omega t_E \quad (17)$$

and the Euclidean scaling operator λ_E can be presented as

$$\theta_E = -i\omega|t_E| \Rightarrow \lambda_E = \exp|\theta_E| \quad (18)$$

4. Self-similarity and the Lorentz group

The Lorentz group represents the group of linear coordinate transformations

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = \Lambda_\nu^\mu x^\nu \quad (19)$$

that leave invariant the relativistic interval

$$s^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu = t^2 - \sum_1^3 x_i^2 \quad (20)$$

Infinitesimal coordinate transformations are given by [2]

$$\Lambda_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu + \omega_\nu^\mu, \quad \omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu} \quad (21)$$

and a set of objects φ^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) transform as

$$\varphi^i \rightarrow \Lambda_j^i \varphi^j \quad (22)$$

where, by (16)-(18), the exponential matrix Λ_j^i acts as scaling operator and takes the form

$$\lambda \Rightarrow \Lambda_j^i = [\exp(-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu})]_j^i \quad (23)$$

Here, $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the six independent elements of the antisymmetric matrix in (21) and $J^{\mu\nu}$ are the group generators. A similar line of reasoning is outlined in [14], where Lorentz transformations are regarded as *similitudes*.

5. Self-similarity and gauge theory

The QED Lagrangian defined in the Feynman gauge is given by [2]

$$L_{QED} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 - eA_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi \quad (24)$$

Demanding that the QED Lagrangian conforms to a local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry amounts to requiring that (24) stays unchanged under

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \exp[ie\theta(x)]\psi(x) \quad (25)$$

and

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu\theta(x) = \exp[\sigma(\theta(x))]A_\mu(x) \quad (26)$$

By (16)-(18), (25) and (26) can be interpreted as local scaling operations in field space defined by

$$\ln \lambda \Rightarrow \theta(x) = \omega_\psi(x)|_{t_E} \quad (27)$$

$$\ln \lambda \Rightarrow \sigma(\theta(x)) = \omega_A(x)|_{t_E} \quad (28)$$

It is known that imposing local gauge invariance leads to the introduction of the *covariant derivative* operator

$$D_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu) \psi \quad (29)$$

which transforms in the same manner as (25), that is, as a local scaling operation in field space

$$D_\mu \psi \rightarrow \exp[i e \theta] D_\mu \psi \quad (30)$$

6. Self-similarity and General Relativity

The gauge field concept brought up in the last section may be built from a straightforward *geometric* interpretation [13]. Consider the parallel transport of a complex vector $|\psi\rangle$ round a closed rectangular loop. The difference between the value of $|\psi\rangle$ at the starting point ($|\psi\rangle_0$) and at the end point $|\psi\rangle_0 \rightarrow |\psi\rangle_f$ assumes the form

$$\Delta \psi = \psi_f - \psi_0 = -ig \Delta S^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \psi \quad (31)$$

in which $\Delta S^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the area enclosed by the rectangle and the strength of the gauge field is

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig [A_\mu, A_\nu] \quad (32)$$

It is seen that the effect of parallel transport is to induce a non-vanishing rotation of $|\psi\rangle$ in internal space proportional to the strength of the gauge field. Likewise, the curvature tensor of General Relativity may be motivated through similar arguments. Taking a vector V^κ on a round trip by parallel transport, the difference between the initial and final components of the vector amounts to

$$\Delta V^\kappa = \frac{1}{2} R_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\kappa V^\lambda \Delta S^{\mu\nu} \quad (33)$$

This equation faithfully replicates (31) and signals the presence of a gravitational field, via the curvature tensor $R_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\kappa$. The geometric analogy between gauge theory and General Relativity is captured in the table below.

Gauge Theory	General Relativity
Gauge transformation	Coordinate transformation
Gauge group	Group of coordinate transformations
Gauge potential A_μ	Connection coefficient $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa$
Field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$	Curvature tensor $R_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\kappa$

Comparison between the geometry of gauge and gravitational fields

In closing, we note that the explicit connection between the spacetime geometry of General Relativity and self-similarity is detailed in [15].

References

1. Falconer, K. J., “The Geometry of Fractal Sets”, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985.
2. Maggiore, M., “A Modern Introduction to Quantum Field Theory”, Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
3. Christensen, K. and Moloney, N. R., “Complexity and Criticality”, Imperial College Press, 2005.

4. Altenberger, A. R. and Dahler, J. S., "The role of self-similarity in Renormalization Group theory", in *Advances in Chemical Physics*, vol. 123, pp. 267-354, John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
5. Landau L., Lifshitz E., "Mechanics, vol.1", Butterworth-Heinemann, 1987.
6. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960077904002942>
7. Available at the following site:
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342183452> Universal Constants from Self-similarity and Adiabatic Invariance
8. Available at the following site:
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341264527> Emergence of Lie Groups and Gauge Symmetries from Complex Dynamics
9. Available at the following site:
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340819356> Emergence of Lagrangian Field Theory from Self-Organized Criticality
10. Available at the following site:
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340595503> Quantum Field Theory as Manifestation of Self-Organized Criticality#fullTextFileContent
11. Available at the following site:
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340435561> Solving the Flatness and Horizon Problems via Self-Organized Criticality#fullTextFileContent
12. Duncan A., "The Conceptual Framework of Quantum Field Theory", Oxford Univ. Press, 2012.
13. Ryder, L. H., "Quantum Field Theory", Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
14. Rosenfeld, B. and Wiebe, B., "Geometry of Lie Groups", Springer, 1997.

15. https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.cmp/1103859930

16. Available at the following site:

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341110733 Complex Dynamics as Foundation of Relativistic Spacetime#fullTextFileContent](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341110733_Complex_Dynamics_as_Foundation_of_Relativistic_Spacetime#fullTextFileContent)