

LAWSON HOMOLOGY FOR ABELIAN VARIETIES

WENCHUAN HU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the Fourier-Mukai transform for Lawson homology of abelian varieties and prove an inversion theorem for the Lawson homology as well as the morphic cohomology of abelian varieties. As applications, we obtain the direct sum decomposition of the Lawson homology and the morphic cohomology groups with rational coefficients, inspired by Beauville's works on the Chow theory. An analogue of the Beauville conjecture for Chow groups is proposed and is shown to be equivalent to the (weak) Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology. A filtration on Lawson homology is proposed and conjecturally it coincides to the filtration given by the direct sum decomposition of Lawson homology for abelian varieties. Moreover, a refined Friedlander-Lawson duality theorem is obtained for abelian varieties. We summarize several related conjectures in Lawson homology theory in the appendix for convenience.

CONTENTS

1. Notations	1
2. Lawson homology	2
3. Correspondences	3
4. Fourier-Mukai transform	4
5. Pontryagin Product	6
6. Decompositions of Lawson homology groups for abelian varieties	8
7. Filtrations on Lawson homology	16
8. Morphic Cohomology	17
9. Semi-topological K-theory	18
10. Appendix	20
Acknowledgements	23
References	23

1. NOTATIONS

In this paper, all varieties are defined over the complex number field \mathbb{C} . Let X be a projective variety of dimension n . Denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ the space of algebraic p -cycles on X . Let $\text{Ch}_p(X)$ be the Chow group of p -cycles on X , i.e. $\text{Ch}_p(X) = \mathcal{Z}_p(X)/\{\text{rational equivalence}\}$. Set $\text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Ch}_p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $\text{Ch}_p(X) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \text{Ch}_p(X)$ and $\text{Ch}_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $A_p(X)$ be the space of p -cycles

Date: October 16, 2011.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic cycle; Lawson homology; Abelian varieties.

on X modulo the algebraic equivalence, i.e. $A_p(X) = \mathcal{Z}_p(X)/\sim_{alg}$, where \sim_{alg} denotes the algebraic equivalence. Set $A_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} := A_p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $A(X) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} A_p(X)$ and $A_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} A_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

2. LAWSON HOMOLOGY

The *Lawson homology* $L_p H_k(X)$ of p -cycles for a projective variety is defined by

$$L_p H_k(X) := \pi_{k-2p}(\mathcal{Z}_p(X)) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2p \geq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ is provided with a natural topology (cf. [F1], [L1]). It has been extended to define for a quasi-projective variety by Lima-Filho (cf. [LF]) and Chow motives (cf. [HL]). For general background, the reader is referred to Lawson's survey paper [L2]. The definition of Lawson homology has been extended to negative integer p . Formally for $p < 0$, we have $L_p H_k(X) = \pi_{k-2p}(\mathcal{Z}_0(X \times \mathbb{C}^{-p})) = H_{k-2p}^{BM}(X \times \mathbb{C}^{-p}) = H_k^{BM}(X) = L_0 H_k(X)$ (cf. [FHW]), where $H_*^{BM}(-)$ denotes the Borel-Moore homology.

In [FM], Friedlander and Mazur showed that there are natural transformations, called *Friedlander-Mazur cycle class maps*

$$(1) \quad \Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)$$

for all $k \geq 2p \geq 0$.

Recall that Friedlander and Mazur constructed a map called the s -map $s : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow L_{p-1} H_k(X)$ such that the cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k} = s^p$ ([FM]). Explicitly, if $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)$ is represented by the homotopy class of a continuous map $f : S^{k-2p} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(X)$, then $\Phi_{p,k}(\alpha) = [f \wedge S^{2p}]$, where $S^{2p} = S^2 \wedge \dots \wedge S^2$ denotes the $2p$ -dimensional topological sphere.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} L_p H_k(X)_{hom} &:= \ker\{\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)\}; \\ L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &:= L_p H_k(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}; \\ T_p H_k(X) &:= \text{Image}\{\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)\}; \\ T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) &:= T_p H_k(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Denoted by $\Phi_{p,k,\mathbb{Q}}$ the map $\Phi_{p,k} \otimes \mathbb{Q} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$. The *Griffiths group* of dimension p -cycles is defined to be

$$\text{Griff}_p(X) := \mathcal{Z}_p(X)_{hom} / \mathcal{Z}_p(X)_{alg}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Griff}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &:= \text{Griff}_p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}; \\ \text{Griff}^q(X) &:= \text{Griff}_{n-q}(X); \\ \text{Griff}^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &:= \text{Griff}_{n-q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

It was proved by Friedlander [F1] that, for any smooth projective variety X ,

$$L_p H_{2p}(X) \cong \mathcal{Z}_p(X) / \mathcal{Z}_p(X)_{alg} = A_p(X).$$

Therefore

$$L_p H_{2p}(X)_{hom} \cong \text{Griff}_p(X).$$

For any smooth quasi-projective variety X , there is an intersection pairing (cf. [FG])

$$L_p H_k(X) \otimes L_q H_l(X) \rightarrow L_{p+q-n} H_{k+l-2n}(X),$$

induced by the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$. More precisely, the composition $\mathcal{Z}_p(X) \times \mathcal{Z}_q(X) \xrightarrow{\times} \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\Delta^!} \mathcal{Z}_{p+q-n}(X)$, where \times is the Cartesian product

of cycles and $\Delta^!$ is the Gysin map, factors through $\mathcal{Z}_p(X) \wedge \mathcal{Z}_q(X)$. On the level of homotopy groups we have intersection pairing

$$\pi_{k-2p}(\mathcal{Z}_p(X)) \otimes \pi_{l-2q}(\mathcal{Z}_q(X)) \xrightarrow{\bullet} \pi_{k+l-2(p+q)}(\mathcal{Z}_{p+q-n}(X)),$$

that is,

$$L_p H_k(X) \otimes L_q H_l(X) \xrightarrow{\bullet} L_{p+q-n} H_{k+l-2n}(X).$$

3. CORRESPONDENCES

In this section we recall basic materials of correspondences and their actions on Lawson homology (cf. [FM], [Pe], [HL]). For closely related materials on Chow correspondences we refer to Manin [Ma] and Fulton [Fu].

A **correspondence** Γ from X to Y is an algebraic cycle (or an equivalent class of cycles depending on the context) on $X \times Y$. We denote the group of correspondences of rational equivalence classes between varieties X and Y by

$$\text{Corr}_d(X, Y) := A_{\dim X + d}(X \times Y).$$

Let X, Y be smooth projective varieties and let $\Gamma \in \text{Corr}_d(X, Y)$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any element $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)$, the push-forward morphism is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_* : L_p H_k(X) &\rightarrow L_{p+d} H_{k+2d}(Y) \\ \Gamma_*(\alpha) &= p_{2*}(p_1^* \alpha \bullet \Gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where p_1 (resp. p_2) denotes the projection from $X \times Y$ onto X (resp. Y) and “ \bullet ” is the intersection product on the group $A(X \times Y)$.

Let X, Y, Z be smooth projective varieties. The composition of two correspondences $\Gamma_1 \in \text{Corr}_{d_1}(X, Y)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \text{Corr}_{d_2}(Y, Z)$ is given by the formula

$$\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 = p_{13*}(p_{12}^* \Gamma_1 \cdot p_{23}^* \Gamma_2) \in \text{Corr}_{d_1+d_2}(X, Z)$$

where p_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are the projection of $X \times Y \times Z$ on the product of its i th and j th factors.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X, Y, Z be smooth projective varieties, $\Gamma_1 \in \text{Corr}_d(X, Y)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \text{Corr}_e(Y, Z)$. Then for any $u \in L_p H_k(X)$, we have*

$$(\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1)_* u = \Gamma_{2*} \Gamma_{1*} u \in L_{p+d+e} H_{k+2d+2e}(Z).$$

Proof. cf. [HL, Prop. 4.2]. □

For a projective morphism $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ the *graph* of f is defined to be the correspondence

$$\Gamma_f := (\text{id}_{X_1}, f)_*(X_1) \in A(X_1 \times X_2).$$

Lemma 3.2. (1) $(\Gamma_f)_*(\alpha) = f_*(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X_1)$.

(2) $({}^t \Gamma_f)_*(\beta) = f^*(\beta)$ for $\beta \in L_q H_l(X_2)$.

Proof. From the projection formula (cf. [Pe, Lemma 11. c]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_f)_*(\alpha) &= p_{2*}((\text{id}_{X_1}, f)_*(X_1) \bullet p_1^* \alpha) \\ &= p_{2*}(\text{id}_{X_1}, f)_*((\text{id}_{X_1}, f)^* p_1^* \alpha) \\ &= f_*(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

since $p_1 \circ (\text{id}_{X_1}, f) = \text{id}_{X_1}$ and $p_2 \circ (\text{id}_{X_1}, f) = f$.

The proof of (2) is similar. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow X_i$, for $i = 1, 2$ be projective morphisms of smooth projective varieties. Then*

- (1) $(f_1 \times f_2)^*Z = {}^t\Gamma_{f_2} \circ Z \circ \Gamma_{f_1}$ for all $Z \in A(X_1 \times X_2)$;
- (2) $(f_1 \times f_2)_*\tilde{Z} = \Gamma_{f_2} \circ \tilde{Z} \circ {}^t\Gamma_{f_1}$ for all $\tilde{Z} \in A(Y_1 \times Y_2)$.

Proof. We give a proof for 1), the proof of 2) is similar. To prove 1) it is enough to show that $(f_1 \times id)^*Z = Z \circ \Gamma_{f_1}$ and $(id \times f_2)^*Z = {}^t\Gamma_{f_2} \circ Z$. Denote by q_1 the projection $Y_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ and p_{ij} the projections of $Y_1 \times X_1 \times X_2$, e.g. $p_{12} : Y_1 \times X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times X_1$ is the projection onto the Cartesian product first two varieties. Then by applying the base change formula for Lawson homology (cf. [Pe, Lemma 11 a)]) to $(id_{Y_1}, f_1) \circ q_1 = p_{12} \circ ((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2})$ and the projection formula (cf. [Pe, Lemma 11 c)]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z \circ \Gamma_{f_1} &= p_{13*}(p_{23}^*Z \cdot p_{12}^*(id_{Y_1}, f_1)_*(Y_1)) \\ &= p_{13*}(p_{23}^*Z \cdot ((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2})_*q_1^*(Y_1)) \\ &= p_{13*}((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2})_*((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2})^*p_{23}^*Z \cdot q_1^*(Y_1) \\ &= p_{13*}((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2})_*((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2})^*p_{23}^*Z \\ &= (f_1 \times id_{X_2})^*Z, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $p_{13} \circ ((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2}) = id_{Y_1 \times X_2}$ and $p_{23} \circ ((id_{Y_1}, f_1) \times id_{X_2}) = f_1 \times id_{X_2}$. From this we get

$$(id_{X_1} \times f_2)^*Z = {}^t((f_2 \times id_{X_1})^*Z) = {}^t({}^tZ \circ \Gamma_{f_2}) = {}^t\Gamma_{f_2} \circ Z.$$

□

From Lemma 3.1-3.3, we have

$$(2) \quad (f_1 \times f_2)^*Z_*(\alpha) = f_2^*(Z_*f_{1*}(\alpha)), \forall \alpha \in L_*H_*(Y_1)$$

and

$$(3) \quad (f_1 \times f_2)_*Z_*(\beta) = f_{2*}(Z_*f_1^*(\beta)), \forall \beta \in L_*H_*(X_1).$$

4. FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORM

Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n and let \hat{X} be the dual abelian variety of X , i.e., $\hat{X} = Pic^0(X)$. Consider the Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_X \in Pic(X \times \hat{X}) = Ch^1(X \times \hat{X})$. The correspondence

$$e^{\mathcal{P}} := \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \mathcal{P}^i \in Ch_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is well-defined since the sum is finite, where \mathcal{P}^i denotes the i -th intersection product.

The Fourier-Mukai transform on Chow group $Ch(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with rational coefficients is defined to be the homomorphism of groups $F = F_X : Ch(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow Ch(\hat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\alpha \mapsto p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot p_1^*\alpha)$.

Similarly, the **Fourier-Mukai transform** on Lawson homology $L_*H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with rational coefficients is defined to be the homomorphism of groups $F = F_X : L_*H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_*H_*(\hat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\alpha \mapsto p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot p_1^*\alpha)$. In particular, the Fourier-Mukai transform on homology $H_*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ with rational coefficients is defined to be the homomorphism of groups $F = F_X : H_*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(\hat{X}, \mathbb{Q})$, $\alpha \mapsto p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot p_1^*\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.1 (Inversion Theorem). *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then we have*

$$F_{\widehat{X}} \circ F_X = (-1)^d (-1)_X^* : L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $-1 : X \rightarrow X$ is the multiplication by -1 on X .

Proof. By definition, we need to show that

$$e^{\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{X}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{P}_X} = (-1)^d \Gamma_{-1} \in L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Since (cf. [Mk])

$$e^{\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{X}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{P}_X} = (-1)^d \Gamma_{-1} \in \text{Ch}_*(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

we get

$$e^{\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{X}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{P}_X} = (-1)^d \Gamma_{-1} \in A_*(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Since by construction the action of correspondence $e^{\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{X}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{P}_X}$ on Lawson homology depends only on its class in $A_*(X \times X)$ (cf. [Pe]), it implies that $e^{\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{X}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{P}_X} = (-1)^d \Gamma_{-1} : L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be an isogeny of abelian varieties. Then for all $\alpha \in L_* H_*(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\beta \in L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$*

- (1) $F_X f_*(\alpha) = \hat{f}^* F_Y(\alpha)$;
- (2) $F_Y f^*(\beta) = \hat{f}^* F_X(\beta)$.

Proof. (1) The universal property of the Poincaré bundle implies that

$$(f \times \text{id}_{\widehat{X}}) \mathcal{P}_X = (\text{id}_Y \times \hat{f})^* \mathcal{P}_Y.$$

By Equation (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_X f_*(\alpha) &= (e^{\mathcal{P}_X})_* f_* \alpha \\ &= (f \times \text{id}_{\widehat{X}})^* (e^{\mathcal{P}_X})_* \alpha \\ &= (\text{id}_Y \times \hat{f})^* (e^{\mathcal{P}_Y})_* \alpha \\ &= \hat{f}^* (e^{\mathcal{P}_Y})_* \alpha \\ &= \hat{f}^* F_Y(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

(2) By applying (1) to $\hat{f} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y f^* &= (-1)^g (-1)_{\widehat{Y}}^* F_Y f^* F_{\widehat{X}} F_X \quad (\text{By Theorem 4.1}) \\ &= (-1)^g (-1)_{\widehat{Y}}^* F_Y F_{\widehat{Y}} \hat{f}^* F_X \quad (\text{By Part (1)}) \\ &= \hat{f}^* F_X. \quad (\text{By Theorem 4.1}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Now we show that the Fourier-Mukai transform $F : L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_* H_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on Lawson homology groups is compatible to that on the singular homology with rational coefficients. That is, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{F_X} & L_p H_k(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow \Phi_{p,k,\mathbb{Q}} & & \downarrow \Phi_{p,k,\mathbb{Q}} \\ H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{F_X} & H_k(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Proof. It follows from the definitions of the Fourier-Mukai transform on Lawson homology and the singular homology. \square

5. PONTRYAGIN PRODUCT

In this section, X denotes an abelian variety of dimension n . Let $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$ denote the sum map $\mu(z, z') = z + z'$. The morphism μ induces a continuous map $\mu_* : \mathcal{Z}_p(X \times X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ between the space of algebraic cycles.

For $Z = \sum n_i V_i \in \mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ and $Z' = \sum m_j W_j \in \mathcal{Z}_q(X)$, we set $Z \times Z' = \sum n_i m_j V_i \times W_j \in \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X \times X)$. So we get a bilinear continuous map $\times : \mathcal{Z}_p(X) \times \mathcal{Z}_q(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X \times X)$.

Therefore we get a continuous composed map $\mu_* \circ \times : \mathcal{Z}_p(X) \times \mathcal{Z}_q(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X)$. We choose the ‘‘empty cycle’’ \emptyset_p (resp. $\emptyset_q, \emptyset_{p+q}$) as the base point in $\mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}_q(X), \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X)$) so that each of $\mathcal{Z}_p(X), \mathcal{Z}_q(X)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X)$ is a point topological abelian group. Note that we have both $\mu_* \circ \times (Z \times \emptyset_q) = \emptyset_{p+q}$ and $\mu_* \circ \times (\emptyset_p \times Z') = \emptyset_{p+q}$. This implies that the map \times factors through $\mathcal{Z}_p(X) \wedge \mathcal{Z}_q(X)$, i.e., there is a commutative diagram of continuous maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_p(X) \times \mathcal{Z}_q(X) & & \\ \vdots \searrow \times & & \\ \mathcal{Z}_p(X) \wedge \mathcal{Z}_q(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad} & \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X). \end{array}$$

For $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)$ and $\beta \in L_q H_l(X)$, the **Pontryagin Product**

$$* : L_p H_k(X) \otimes L_q H_l(X) \rightarrow L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X), (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha * \beta$$

is defined to be the image of the homotopy class of $f \wedge g : S^{k+l-2(p+q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X)$ under μ_* , where $f : S^{k-2p} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ (resp. $g : S^{l-2q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_q(X)$) is a representative element of α (resp. β) and $f \wedge g$ is the composed map $S^{k+l-2(p+q)} = S^{k-2p} \wedge S^{l-2q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(X) \wedge \mathcal{Z}_q(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p+q}(X \times X)$. The homotopy class of $f \wedge g$ defines an element in $L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X \times X)$ and so $\mu_*([f \wedge g])$ gives us an element in $L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)$. By comparing the intersection of Lawson homology defined by Friedlander and Gabber ([FG]), this product $\alpha * \beta := \mu_*([f \wedge g])$ is equal to $\mu_*(p_1^* \alpha \bullet p_2^* \beta)$.

Lemma 5.1. *The Pontryagin product is bilinear, associative and anti-commutative for the second index on $L_* H_*(X)$.*

Proof. The bilinear property follows exactly from the above definition of $*$. Note that $f \wedge g = (-1)^{k+l-2(p+q)} g \wedge f = (-1)^{k+l} g \wedge f$, where f, g are given as above. Hence we get the anti-commutativity for the second index. The associativity follows from the associativity of \wedge . \square

Proposition 5.2. *For all $\alpha, \beta \in L_* H_*(X)$, we have*

- (1) $F(\alpha * \beta) = F(\alpha) \cdot F(\beta)$;
- (2) $F(\alpha \cdot \beta) = (-1)^n F(\alpha) * F(\beta)$.

Proof. (1) We denote by q_i and q_{ij} the projections of $X \times X \times \widehat{X}$. Note first that $(\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})^* \mathcal{P}_X = q_{13}^* \mathcal{P}_X \cdot q_{23}^* \mathcal{P}_X$ holds in $\text{Ch}_*(X \times X \times \widehat{X})$ (cf. [BL, Lemma 14.1.7]) implies that $(\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})^* e^{\mathcal{P}_X} = q_{13}^* e^{\mathcal{P}_X} \cdot q_{23}^* e^{\mathcal{P}_X}$ holds in

$\text{Ch}_*(X \times X \times \widehat{X})$ and so in $A(X \times X \times \widehat{X})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\alpha * \beta) &= p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^*(\mu(\alpha \times \beta))) \\
&= p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot (\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})_* q_{12}^*(\alpha \times \beta)) \\
&= p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot (\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})_* q_1^* \alpha \cdot q_2^* \beta) \\
&= p_{2*}(\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})_* ((\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_1^* \alpha \cdot q_2^* \beta) \\
&= p_{2*}(\mu \times 1_{\widehat{X}})_* (q_{13}^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_{23}^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_1^* \alpha \cdot q_2^* \beta) \\
&= q_{3*}(q_{13}^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_1^* \cdot q_{23}^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_2^* \beta) \\
&\quad (\text{since } q_3 = \mu \times id_{\widehat{X}}) \\
&= p_{2*} q_{13*}(q_{13}^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_1^* \alpha \cdot q_{23}^* e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot q_2^* \beta) \\
&\quad (\text{since } q_3 = p_2 \circ q_{13}) \\
&= p_{2*} q_{13*}(q_{13}^*(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \alpha) \cdot q_{23}^*(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \beta)) \\
&\quad (\text{since } q_1 = p_1 \circ q_{13}, q_2 = p_1 \circ q_{23}) \\
&= p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \alpha \cdot q_{13*} q_{23}^*(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \beta)) \\
&\quad (\text{since } q_3 = p_2 \circ q_{13} \text{ and } q_3 = p_2 \circ q_{23}) \\
&= p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \alpha \cdot p_2^* p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \beta)) \\
&= p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \alpha) \cdot p_{2*}(e^{\mathcal{P}X} \cdot p_1^* \beta) \\
&= F(\alpha) \cdot F(\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) The statement (2) follows from Part (1) by the Inversion Theorem 4.1. \square

Proposition 5.3. *The Pontryagin product is compatible with the natural transformation $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)$. More precisely, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L_p H_k(X) \otimes L_q H_l(X) & \xrightarrow{*} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X) \\
\downarrow \Phi_{p,k} \otimes \Phi_{q,l} & & \downarrow \Phi_{p+q,k+l} \\
H_k(X) \otimes H_l(X) & \xrightarrow{*} & H_{k+l}(X).
\end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)$ (resp. $\beta \in L_q H_l(X)$) be represented by the homotopy class of the map $f : S^{k-2p} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(X)$ (resp. $g : S^{l-2q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_q(X)$). Then by definition we have $\alpha * \beta = \mu_*([f \wedge g])$.

Recall that from the property of s -map (cf. [FM, Chapter 6]), one has the explicitly formulas

$$\Phi_{p,k}([f]) = [f \wedge S^{2p}]; \Phi_{q,l}([g]) = [g \wedge S^{2q}].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{p,k}(\alpha) * \Phi_{q,l}(\beta) &= \Phi_{p,k}([f]) * \Phi_{q,l}([g]) \\
&= \mu_*[f \wedge S^{2p} \wedge g \wedge S^{2q}] \\
&= \mu_*[f \wedge g \wedge S^{2p} \wedge S^{2q}] \\
&= \mu_*[f \wedge g \wedge S^{2(p+q)}] \\
&= \mu_*(\Phi_{p+q,k+l}([f \wedge g])) \\
&= \Phi_{p+q,k+l}(\mu_*([f \wedge g])) \\
&= \Phi_{p+q,k+l}(\alpha * \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

The penultimate equality holds since $\Phi_{p+q,k+l} : L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X) \rightarrow H_{k+l}(X)$ is a natural transformation from Lawson homology to the singular homology. This completes the proof of the commutative diagram. \square

Remark 5.4. *From the proof of the above proposition, we observe that the Pontryagin product $*$ is compatible with the s -map.*

6. DECOMPOSITIONS OF LAWSON HOMOLOGY GROUPS FOR ABELIAN VARIETIES

Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . For each integer m , there is a homomorphism $m_X : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $x \mapsto m \cdot x$. Recall that we have cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k} \otimes \mathbb{Q} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $k \geq 2p \geq 0$. By considering elements in $H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ as the dual of differential forms, it is easy to see that the induced map $m_{X*} : H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is multiplication by m^k .

There is an eigenspace decomposition of $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for each pair of p, k such that $k \geq 2p \geq 0$. Set

$$L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s := \{\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid m_{X*} \alpha = m^{k+s} \alpha, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then we have the following decomposition*

$$(4) \quad L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{s=p-k}^{n - \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s,$$

where $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to a .

We have a direct corollary from Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s > n - \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ or $s < p - k$.*

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and*

$$F(\alpha) = \sum_{q=p - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}^n \beta_q$$

with $\beta_q \in L_q H_l(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $l = k + 2(q - p)$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$m_{\widehat{X}}^* \beta_q = m^{n-q+p} \beta_q.$$

Proof. By the definition of F we have

$$\beta_q = \frac{1}{(n-q+p)!} p_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^{(n-q+p)} \cdot p_1^* \alpha) \in L_q H_l(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Hence using flat base change with $m_{\widehat{X}} \circ p_2 = p_2 \circ (1_X \times m_{\widehat{X}})$ (cf. [FG, §3]) and the fact that $(1_X \times m_{\widehat{X}})^* \mathcal{P} = m \mathcal{P}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\widehat{X}}^* \beta_q &= \frac{1}{(n-q+p)!} m_{\widehat{X}}^* p_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^{(n-q+p)} \cdot p_1^* \alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-q+p)!} p_{2*}((1_X \times m_{\widehat{X}})^* \mathcal{P}^{(n-q+p)} \cdot p_1^* \alpha) \\ &= \frac{m^{n-q+p}}{(n-q+p)!} p_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^{(n-q+p)} \cdot p_1^* \alpha) \\ &= m^{n-q+p} \beta_q. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 6.4. *For $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} - \{-1, 0, 1\}$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$,
- (2) $m_{X*} \alpha = m^{k+s} \alpha$,

- (3) $m_X^* \alpha = m^{2n-k-s} \alpha$,
- (4) $F(\alpha) \in L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$,
- (5) $F(\alpha) \in L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$.

Proof. We show this in the following way: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (3).

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is from the definition of $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Note first that $m_X^* \alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Suppose $m_X^* \alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{s'}$ and from the definition we get $m_{X^*}(m_X^* \alpha) = m^{k+s'} m_X^* \alpha$. Since $m_{X^*}(m_X^* \alpha) = (\deg m_X) \alpha = m^{2n} \alpha$, we obtain that $m_X^* \alpha = m^{2n-k-s'} \alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$. This implies that $s = s'$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). From $m^{2n} \alpha = \deg(m_X) \alpha = m_{X^*}(m_X^* \alpha) = m_{X^*}(m^{2n-k-s} \alpha) = m^{2n-k-s} m_{X^*} \alpha$ we get $m_{X^*} \alpha = m^{k+s} \alpha$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). We write $F_X(\alpha) = \sum_q \beta_q$ with $\beta_q \in L_q H_{k+2(q-p)}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q=p-\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}^n \beta_q &= F_X(\alpha) \\
&= \frac{1}{m^{k+s}} F(m_{X^*} \alpha) \\
&= \frac{1}{m^{k+s}} m_{\widehat{X}}^* F(\alpha) \\
&= \frac{1}{m^{k+s}} \sum_{q=0}^n m_{\widehat{X}}^* \beta_q \\
&= \frac{1}{m^{k+s}} \sum_{q=0}^n m^{n-q+p} \beta_q \\
&= m^{n-k-q+p-s} \beta_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients this implies that

$$F(\alpha) = \beta_{n-k+p-s} \in L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-k-2s}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

(4) \Rightarrow (5). By Lemma 6.3, we have $F(\alpha) \in L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$.

(5) \Rightarrow (3). For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
m_X^* \alpha &= m_X^* (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} F_X \alpha && \text{(by Theorem 4.1)} \\
&= (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} m_{\widehat{X}^*} F_X \alpha && \text{(by Proposition 5.2)} \\
&= (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} m_{\widehat{X}^*} \beta_{n-k+p-s} && \text{(by Statement (5))} \\
&= m^{-k-s} (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} m_{\widehat{X}^*} m_X^* \beta_{n-k+p-s} && \text{(by Lemma 6.3)} \\
&= m^{2n-k-s} (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} \beta_{n-k+p-s} \\
&= m^{2n-k-s} (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} F_X(\alpha) \\
&= m^{2n-k-s} \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Suppose $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and write $F_X(\alpha) = \sum \beta_q$ with $\beta_q \in L_q H_{k+2(q-p)}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Lemma 6.3 we have $\beta_q \in L_q H_{k+2(q-p)}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-k-q+p}$. By applying Proposition 6.4 to β_q , we get

$$F_{\widehat{X}}(\beta_q) \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-k-q+p}.$$

Now by Theorem 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= (-1)^n (-1)_X^* F_{\widehat{X}} \circ F_X(\alpha) \\
&= (-1)^n (-1)_X^* \sum_{q=p-\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}^n \beta_q \in \bigoplus_{q=p-\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}^n L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-k-q+p}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the assertion since $n - k + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor = n - \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$. □

The decomposition of Equation (4) is compatible with many natural maps.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a group homomorphism between abelian varieties. Then induced map f_* preserves the decomposition of Lawson homology groups with rational coefficients, i.e.,*

$$f_*(L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s) \subseteq L_p H_k(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a group homomorphism, one has $f(m \cdot x) = m \cdot f(x)$ and so $m_Y \circ f = f \circ m_X$. Hence we have $m_{Y*} \circ f_* = f_* \circ m_{X*}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 6.6. *The decomposition in Equation (4) is compatible with the cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k,\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. It follows from the fact that the multiplication m_X by m on X commutes with the Fourier-Mukai transform F_X (cf. Proposition 4.2) and the cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k,\mathbb{Q}}$, the latter is the general fact that the cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k,\mathbb{Q}}$ is a natural transformation between the Lawson homology (cf. [FM], [L2, Ch. IV]). \square

Set

$$L_p H_k(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}^s := \{\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}} \mid m_{X*} \alpha = m^{k+s} \alpha, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

From Proposition 6.6, we have

$$(5) \quad L_p H_k(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}^s = L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s, \quad s \neq 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad L_p H_k(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}^0 = L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \cap L_p H_k(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}.$$

On the image of the natural transform $\Phi_{p,k} \otimes \mathbb{Q} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have the following result.

Corollary 6.7. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then we have*

$$T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Proof. Note that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 & \xrightarrow{F_X} & L_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \\ \downarrow \Phi_{p,k} \otimes \mathbb{Q} & & \downarrow \Phi_{n+p-k, 2n-k} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}_X} & T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}, \end{array}$$

where \bar{F}_X is the restriction of the Fourier-Mukai transform F_X on the rational homology groups of X . Since $F_X : H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphism, $\bar{F}_X : T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective and so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Since $F_{\widehat{X}}$ is also an isomorphism on the rational homology groups, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and so $\bar{F}_X : T_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow T_{n+p-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism. \square

The motivation of our decomposition follows from that of the Chow group theory. Recall that there is also an eigenspace decomposition for $\text{Ch}_p(X)$ of for every p , due to Beauville [B2]. If we set

$$\text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s := \{\alpha \in \text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid m_{X*}\alpha = m^{2p+s}\alpha \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

then there is a direct sum decomposition for the Chow group of X with rational coefficients

$$\text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{s=-p}^{n-p} \text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s.$$

Beauville conjectures that $\text{Ch}_p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s < 0$ ([B1, B2]). Similarly, we have the following analogue of Beauville's conjecture for the Lawson homology of abelian varieties.

Conjecture 6.8. *For an abelian variety X , one has $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s < 0$.*

From Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 6.4, we have

Corollary 6.9. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . The Fourier-Mukai transformation F_X induces an isomorphism*

$$L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$$

for all integer s .

Proof. To see the injectivity of F_X , let $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ such that

$$(7) \quad F_X(\alpha) = 0 \in L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s.$$

Now we apply $F_{\widehat{X}}$ to both sides of Equation (7), we get

$$(8) \quad F_{\widehat{X}} \circ F_X(\alpha) = F_{\widehat{X}}(0).$$

The right side of Equation (8) is obviously equal to zero. By Theorem 4.1, the left side of equation (8) is $(-1)^n (-1)_{\widehat{X}}^*(\alpha)$. Since $(-1)_{\widehat{X}}^*$ is an isomorphism, we get $\alpha = 0$.

To see the surjectivity of F_X , for $\beta \in L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$, we have $F_{\widehat{X}}(\beta) \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ by Proposition 6.4. Set $\alpha := (-1)^n (-1)_{\widehat{X}}^*(\beta)$. Then $F_X(\alpha) = \beta$ by applying Theorem 4.1 to \widehat{X} . \square

From the explanation in the beginning of this section, if we define

$$H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s := \{\alpha \in H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid m_{X*}\alpha = m^{k+s}\alpha, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

then by applying F_X on singular homology with rational coefficients, one gets

$$(9) \quad H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = \begin{cases} H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, & s = 0; \\ 0, & s \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we will check the situation of the conjecture for low dimensional abelian varieties. For those X of $\dim X \leq 2$, Friedlander's result [F1, Th.4.6] and the Dold-Thom theorem imply that Conjecture 6.8 holds.

Example 6.10. *Conjecture 6.8 holds for abelian variety X of dimension 3 except possibly for $p = 1, k \geq 4$ and $s = 3 - k$.*

Proof. From the above discussion, we only need to consider abelian varieties X of dimension three. That is, we need to show $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ except for $p = 1, k \geq 4$ and $s = 3 - k$.

There are different cases according to p and k . It is trivial when $p < 0$ or $p > 3$.

- (1) $p = 0$. In this case, one has the Dold-Thom isomorphism $L_0 H_k(X) \cong H_k(X)$ and therefore by Equation (9) we have

$$L_0 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = \begin{cases} H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, & s = 0; \\ 0, & s \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

So we have $L_0 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s < 0$ for all $k \geq 0$.

- (2) $p = 2$. In this case, we obtain from Friedlander's theorem (cf. [F1, Th.4.6]) that

$$L_2 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = \begin{cases} H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, & s = 0; \\ 0, & s \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

for $k \geq 5$. For $k = 4$, $L_2 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \subseteq H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s < 0$ by Equation (9). So we have $L_2 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s < 0$ for all $k \geq 4$.

- (3) $p = 3$. In this case, by definition, the only nontrivial $L_3 H_k(X)$ occurs in the case that $k = 6$. When $k = 6$, we have $L_3 H_6(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H_6(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. So $L_3 H_6(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong H_6(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $s < 0$ by Equation (9).
- (4) $p = 1$.

- (a) $k = 2$. By applying Corollary 6.9 to X , we obtain that

$$L_1 H_2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong L_{2-s} H_{4-2s}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s.$$

So if $s < 0$, then $2 - s > 2$ and hence $L_{2-s} H_{4-2s}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ by applying the above cases to \widehat{X} .

- (b) $k = 3$. Again by applying Corollary 6.9 to X , we get

$$L_1 H_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong L_{1-s} H_{3-2s}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s.$$

So if $s < 0$, then $1 - s \geq 2$ and hence $L_{1-s} H_{3-2s}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ by the applying the above cases to \widehat{X} .

- (c) $k \geq 4$. By applying Corollary 6.9 to X , we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s &\cong L_{4-k-s} H_{6-k-2s}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \\ &= 0, \text{ if } s \neq 3 - k. \end{aligned}$$

□

From the discuss above, we see that the mysterious part of $L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for an abelian threefold X is $L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{3-k} = \{\alpha \in L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid m_{X^*} \alpha = m^3 \alpha\}$. For $k = 2$, it is the Griffiths group with rational coefficients of 1-cycles on X ; For $k = 3$, conjecturally it is $T_1 H_3(X, \mathbb{Q})$; For $k \geq 4$, conjecturally it is zero.

Now we describe the relation between of Conjecture 6.8 and a weak version conjecture by Suslin (see the appendix below for the statement). In the usual (or strong) version of the Suslin conjecture, varieties are only required to be quasi-projective. Moreover, the strong version Suslin conjecture also includes the statement that the cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k}$ from Lawson homology to the singular homology is injective for $k = n + p - 1$.

Proposition 6.11. *Conjecture 6.8 is equivalent to the (weak version) Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology with rational coefficients on abelian varieties.*

Proof. Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . First we assume Conjecture 6.8, i.e.

$$L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$$

for all $k \geq 2p$ and $s < 0$. Then for $k \geq n + p$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \bigoplus_{s=p-k}^{n-\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(by Theorem 6.1)} \\
&= \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(by Conjecture 6.8)} \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil} L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(by Corollary 6.9)} \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(since } n-k+p-s \leq 0) \\
&= H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 && \text{(by Equation (9))} \\
&= H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} && \text{(by Equation (9))} \\
&\cong H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} && \text{(by Fourier Inversion)}
\end{aligned}$$

and this is exactly the Suslin conjecture for X with rational coefficients for $k \geq n+p$.

Conversely, we assume that the Suslin conjecture holds for X . Then by Equation (10), we have $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for $p-k \leq s < 0$. This together with Corollary 6.2 implies that $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = 0$ for all $s < 0$. \square

Remark 6.12. *The weak version Suslin conjecture relates to a Hard Lefschetz type conjecture for Lawson homology (cf. [FM], [X]). Moreover, by applying an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the space of algebraic cycles modulo the algebraic equivalence, as observed by Beauville, Xu gives further direct sum decomposition of $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ in terms of primitive elements.*

The following question was asked by Friedlander and Lawson in the terminology of their morphic cohomology (for definition, see section 8 below). By Friedlander-Lawson's duality theorem (cf. [FL2]) between the Morp hic cohomology and Lawson homology, an equivalent version in terms of Lawson homology is given in the following.

Question 6.13 (Compare with Question 9.7 in [FL1]). *For a smooth projective variety X of dimension n , is $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)$ surjective for $k \geq n+p$?*

Obviously, the Suslin conjecture says Friedlander-Lawson's answer to the above question is yes. The answer is also yes to the question for abelian varieties, as given in the morphic cohomology version (cf. [FL2, Cor. 9.5]). The affirmative answer to Question 6.13 can be obtained by using the Friedlander-Lawson's duality theorem. Now we give an alternative proof of the surjectivity of $\Phi_{p,k}$, without using the Friedlander-Lawson's duality theorem.

Proposition 6.14 (Friedlander-Lawson). *For an abelian variety X of dimension n , the cycle class map $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)$ surjective for $k \geq n+p$.*

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 6.11, we see that for any abelian variety X of dimension n , $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is surjective for all $k \geq n+p$. The

details are given as follows: Since $k \geq n + p$, we have
(11)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \bigoplus_{s=p-k}^{n-\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(by Theorem 6.1)} \\
&\supseteq \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} L_{n-k+p-s} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(by Corollary 6.9)} \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} H_{2n-2s-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^s && \text{(since } n-k+p-s \leq 0) \\
&= H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 && \text{(by Equation (9))} \\
&= H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} && \text{(by Equation (9))} \\
&\cong H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} && \text{(by Fourier Inversion)}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is surjective for $k \geq p+n$. Since the Suslin conjecture with finite coefficients holds, as from the work of Milnor-Bloch-Kato conjecture (now a theorem by Voevodsky, Rost and others), we obtain that $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X)$ is also surjective for $k \geq p+n$. \square

Remark 6.15. *From the above proposition and a recent result by Beilinson [Bl], one obtains an alternative proof of the Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type for X (see the appendix below for the statement). The first proof of this Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type for abelian varieties was obtained by Lieberman [Li].*

Proposition 6.16. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Suppose the (strong version) Suslin conjecture holds for X . Then for 1-cycles, we have*

$$L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \cong T_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

for all $k \geq 2$ and there is a finite filtration on $L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
F^0 L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \\
F^1 L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= L_1 H_k(X)_{hom, \mathbb{Q}}, \\
F^2 L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \ker AJ_X, \\
F^j L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \bigoplus_{j \geq s} L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s, \\
F^j L_1 H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= 0, j \gg 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where AJ_X is the Abel-Jacobi for Lawson homology, as defined by the author in [H2].

Proof. From Theorem 6.1, we have a finite filtration given by

$$F^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s, \quad j = p-k, p-k+1, \dots, n - \lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor.$$

Moreover, $F^{p-k} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $F^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for $j > n - \lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$. By assumption and Proposition 6.11, we have

$$F^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

for all $j \leq 0$.

By the (strong) Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology with rational coefficients, $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective for $k = n + p - 1$. By applying Theorem

6.1 to $L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ for $k = n + p - 1$, we get
(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q} &= \bigoplus_{s=p-k}^{n-\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^s && \text{(by Theorem 6.1)} \\
&= \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^s && \text{(by Proposition 6.11)} \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{s=0}^{n-\lfloor \frac{n+p}{2} \rfloor} L_{1-s} H_{n-p+1-2s}(\widehat{X})_\mathbb{Q}^s && \text{(by Corollary 6.9)} \\
&\cong L_1 H_{n-p+1}(\widehat{X})_\mathbb{Q}^0 \\
&\quad \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{n-\lfloor \frac{n+p}{2} \rfloor} H_{n-p+1-2s}(\widehat{X})_\mathbb{Q}^s && \text{(by Dold-Thom Theorem)} \\
&= L_1 H_{n-p+1}(\widehat{X})_\mathbb{Q}^0 && \text{(by Equation (9))} \\
&\cong L_p H_{n+p-1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}^0 && \text{(by Fourier Inversion)}
\end{aligned}$$

, Therefore, $L_p H_{n+p-1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}^0 \cong L_p H_{n+p-1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$. Then the Suslin conjecture implies that

$$(13) \quad L_p H_{n+p-1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}^0 \cong T_p H_{n+p-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

and hence $F^1 L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q} = L_p H_k(X)_{\text{hom}, \mathbb{Q}}$. In particular, one has $F^1 L_1 H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q} = L_1 H_k(X)_{\text{hom}, \mathbb{Q}}$ for $p = 1$.

Under the assumption of the (strong) Suslin conjecture, for $k \geq 2$, we have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^0 &\cong L_{n+1-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X})_\mathbb{Q}^0 && \text{(by Corollary 6.9)} \\
&\cong T_{n+1-k} H_{2n-k}(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Q}) && \text{(by Equation (13))} \\
&\cong T_1 H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}). && \text{(by Corollary (6.7))}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the Abel-Jacobi map for the Lawson homology of a smooth projective variety X is a natural map

$$AJ_X : L_p H_k(X)_{\text{hom}} \longrightarrow \left\{ \bigoplus_{r>k-2p+1, r+s=k-2p+1} H^{p+r, p+s}(X) \right\}^* / H_{k+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

So if X is an abelian variety and $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^s \cap L_p H_k(X)_{\text{hom}, \mathbb{Q}}$, then

$$AJ_X(m_{X*} \alpha) = m_{X*}(AJ_X(\alpha)),$$

i.e. $m^{k+s} AJ_X(\alpha) = m^{k+1} AJ_X(\alpha)$ since the action of m_{X*} on $H^{k+1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the multiplication by m^{k+1} . Therefore $AJ_X(\alpha) = 0$ unless $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^1$. \square

Remark 6.17. *From the above proposition, it is reasonable to conjecture for an abelian variety X that $L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^0 \cong T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for all integers $k \geq 2p$. Then the above proposition would hold for all $p \geq 0$.*

The direct sum decomposition for the Lawson homology of an abelian variety X in Theorem 6.1 is compatible with the Pontryagin product.

Proposition 6.18. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^s \otimes L_q H_l(X)_\mathbb{Q}^{s'} & \xrightarrow{*} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_\mathbb{Q}^{s+s'} \\
\downarrow i_{p,k}^s \otimes i_{q,l}^{s'} & & \downarrow i_{p+q,k+l}^{s+s'} \\
L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q} \otimes L_q H_l(X)_\mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{*} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_\mathbb{Q}
\end{array}$$

is commutative, where $i_{p,k}^s : L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}^s \rightarrow L_p H_k(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ is the inclusion of the summand in the direct sum decomposition (cf. Equation (4)).

Proof. We need to show that for $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ and $\beta \in L_q H_l(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{s'}$, one has $\alpha * \beta \in L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{s+s'}$. Since $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$, we have by definition $m_{X*}(\alpha) = m^{k+s}\alpha$. Similarly, $m_{X*}(\beta) = m^{l+s'}\beta$. It is enough to show that $m_{X*}(\alpha * \beta) = m^{k+l+s+s'}\alpha * \beta$. Note that by definition the two morphisms $\mu \circ (m_X, m_X)$ and $m_X \circ \mu$ coincide, i.e., $\mu \circ (m_X, m_X) = m_X \circ \mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$. So we have the commutative diagram by the induced maps on Lawson homology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes L_q H_l(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\times} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_*} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow m_{X*} \otimes m_{X*} & & & & \downarrow m_{X*} \\ L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes L_q H_l(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\times} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_*} & L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{array}$$

So we have

$$\mu_* \circ \times \circ (m_{X*} \otimes m_{X*}) = m_{X*} \circ \mu_* \circ \times : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

in other words,

$$m_{X*}(\alpha * \beta) = m_{X*}\alpha * m_*\beta.$$

Now since $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ and $\beta \in L_q H_l(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{s'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{X*}(\alpha * \beta) &= m_{X*}\alpha * m_*\beta \\ &= (m^{k+s}\alpha) * (m^{l+s'}\beta) \\ &= m^{k+s+l+s'}\alpha * \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by the bilinearity of } *)$$

So by definition we get $\alpha * \beta \in L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{s+s'}$. \square

However, we cannot hope the Pontryagin product would bring more on the Abel-Jacobi map for Lawson homology, since AJ_X is only non-trivial on $L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, but the Pontryagin product of $\alpha \in L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ and $\beta \in L_q H_l(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ is in $L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$. So the Abel-Jacobi map AJ_X is identically zero on $L_{p+q} H_{k+l}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$.

7. FILTRATIONS ON LAWSON HOMOLOGY

In this section we will define a filtration on Lawson homology, as an analogue of the conjectural Bloch-Beilinson filtration for Chow groups. For X a smooth projective variety, then conjecturally, for every integer k , there exists a decreasing filtration $\tilde{F}^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the Lawson homology group with rational coefficients, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $\tilde{F}^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for $j \gg 1$.
- (2) The filtration is stable under the action of correspondences:
If $\Gamma \in A_{\dim X+d}(X \times Y)$, then the maps

$$\Gamma_* : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_{p+d} H_{k+2d}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

satisfy

$$\Gamma_*(\tilde{F}^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \subseteq \tilde{F}^j L_{p+d} H_{k+2d}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

- (3) The induced map

$$Gr_{\tilde{F}}^j \Gamma_* : Gr_{\tilde{F}}^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow Gr_{\tilde{F}}^j L_{p+d} H_{k+2d}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

vanishes if the class Γ is zero on $H_{k+j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, i.e.,

$$0 = [\Gamma]_* : H_{k+j}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{k+j+2d}(Y, \mathbb{Q}).$$

A candidate of such a filtration could be given in the following way by induction: Assume that we have constructed $\tilde{F}^{j-1}L_qH_l(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for every $l \geq 2q$ and every smooth projective variety Y . Then we set

$$\tilde{F}^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{span}\{Im \Gamma_*(\tilde{F}^{j-1}L_{p+r}H_{k+2r}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}) | \Gamma \in A^{r+\dim X}(Y \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\},$$

where Γ satisfies the condition that

$$\Gamma_* : H_{k+2r}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

is zero.

For X an abelian variety, if we set as before

$$F^j L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s, \quad j = p - k, p - k + 1, \dots, n - [(k + 1)/2].$$

As an analogue in Chow theory ([Mr]), it is reasonable to conjecture that the two filtrations for abelian varieties coincide.

8. MORPHIC COHOMOLOGY

There is a cohomological version of Lawson homology, i.e., the Friedlander-Lawson morphic cohomology, is defined to be the homotopy group of algebraic cocycles. The topological group $\mathcal{Z}^q(X)$ of all algebraic cocycles of codimension- q on X is defined as a homotopy quotient completion (cf. [FL1, Definition 2.8])

$$\mathcal{Z}^q(X) := [\mathfrak{M}\text{or}(X, \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{P}^q)) / \mathfrak{M}\text{or}(X, \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{P}^{q-1}))]^+ = \mathfrak{M}\text{or}(X, \mathcal{Z}_0(\mathbb{C}^q)).$$

The $(2q - k)$ -th homotopy group of the space of algebraic cocycles instead of algebraic cycles, is defined to be the *Friedlander-Lawson morphic cohomology group* and is denoted by $L^q H^k(X)$.

Let X be an abelian variety. There is also an eigenspace decomposition of $L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for each pair of q, k such that $k \leq 2q$.

$$L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s := \{\alpha \in L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} | m_X^* \alpha = m^{k-s} \alpha\}$$

Proposition 8.1 (Refined Friedlander-Lawson duality for Abelian varieties). *There is an induced isomorphism*

$$L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$$

from the Friedlander-Lawson duality for all integers s and $k \leq 2q$, where $n = \dim X$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} : L^q H^k(X) \rightarrow L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)$ be the the Friedlander-Lawson duality homomorphism (cf. [FL2]). We denote by the same notation for the coefficient extension map $\mathcal{D} : L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since \mathcal{D} is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that the image of $L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ under \mathcal{D} is $L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$. First note that there is a commutative diagram

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L^q H^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} & L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X) \\ \downarrow m_X^! & & \downarrow m_{X^*} \\ L^q H^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} & L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X), \end{array}$$

where $m_X^!$ is the Gysin map induced by the map $m_X : X \rightarrow X$ (cf. [FL2, Prop.5.5]). By the Fulton's excess formula for the morphic cohomology (also Lawson homology), we have $m_X^! m_X^* = \text{deg } m_X$ (cf. [HL]). The latter is equal to m^{2n} .

Now or $\alpha \in L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$, by definition we have $m_X^*(\alpha) = m^{k-s}\alpha$. Hence $\alpha = \frac{1}{m^{k-s}} \cdot m_X^* \alpha$ and

$$(15) \quad m_X^! \alpha = \frac{1}{m^{k-s}} \cdot m^! m_X^* \alpha = \frac{1}{m^{k-s}} \cdot m^{2n} \alpha = m^{2n-k+s} \alpha.$$

From Equation (14), we have $\mathcal{D} \circ m_X^! = m_{X^*} \circ \mathcal{D}$. So by this as well as Equation (15), one gets

$$(16) \quad m_{X^*}(\mathcal{D}\alpha) = \mathcal{D}(m_X^! \alpha) = \mathcal{D}(m^{2n-k+s} \alpha) = m^{2n-k+s} \mathcal{D}\alpha.$$

That is to say, $\mathcal{D}\alpha \in L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$. This gives us an isomorphism

$$L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$$

for all integers s and $k \leq 2q$. \square

By this proposition, all the results in terms of Lawson homology in section 6 have the corresponding morphic cohomological version obtained by replacing $L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ by $L_{n-q} H_{2n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s$. For example, we have a decomposition for the morphic cohomology of an abelian variety.

Proposition 8.2. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then we have the following decomposition*

$$L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \bigoplus_{s=k-q-n}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} L^q H^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 8.1. \square

9. SEMI-TOPOLOGICAL K-THEORY

Recall that the (singular) **semi-topological K-theory** (denoted by $K_*^{sst}(-)$) was introduced and developed by Friedlander and Walker in a sequence of papers (cf. [FW1], [FW2], [FW3], [FW4] and reference therein).

Let $\mathcal{K}^{sst}(X)$ be a homotopy-theoretic group completion of a space of maps of X to an infinite Grassmannian, topologized as in [FW3]. The **semi-topological K-group** $K_j^{sst}(X)$ of X is defined to be the j -th homotopy group of $\mathcal{K}^{sst}(X)$. The rational K^{sst} -groups is denoted by

$$K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} := K_j^{sst}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

One of the fundamental result in semi-topological K -theory is that there is a natural isomorphism between rational K^{sst} -groups and certain direct sum of rational morphic groups:

Theorem 9.1 (Friedlander-Walker [FW3]). *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} L^q H^{2q-j}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad j \geq 0$$

for any smooth (quasi-)projective variety X .

Now let X be an abelian variety. From Theorem 9.1 and Proposition 8.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 9.2. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension n . Then*

$$K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \bigoplus_{s=q-n-j}^{\lfloor \frac{2q-j}{2} \rfloor} L^q H^{2q-j}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s,$$

In other words, $K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is decomposed to be the direct sum of eigenspaces of the map $m_X^ : K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. We have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\cong \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} L^q H^{2q-j}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} && \text{(by Theorem 9.1)} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \bigoplus_{s=q-n-j}^{\lfloor \frac{2q-j}{2} \rfloor} L^q H^{2q-j}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s. && \text{(by Proposition 8.1)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that in Corollary 9.2, there is only finite many nonzero direct summands on the right side of the equation since, for fixed j , $L^q H^{2q-j}(X)$ vanishes when q large. In particular, for an abelian variety of dimension three, we give explicitly the following equations.

Example 9.3. *Let X be an abelian variety of $\dim X = 3$. Then we have*

$$(17) \quad K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^0(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus L_1 H_2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \oplus \text{Griff}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus H^6(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

$$(18) \quad K_1^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus L_1 H_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \oplus L_1 H_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \oplus H^5(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

and there is surjective map

$$(19) \quad K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow K_j^{top}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \forall j \geq 2.$$

The weak version Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology with rational coefficients implies that

$$(20) \quad K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong K_j^{top}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \forall j \geq 2$$

where $K_j^{top}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the j -th topological K -group with rational coefficients.

Proof. From Corollary 9.2, one has

$$K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^0(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus L^2 H^4(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \oplus L^2 H^4(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \oplus H^6(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Note that $L^2 H^4(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \cong L_1 H_2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Now Equation (17) follows from a fact that $L^2 H^4(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \cong L^2 H^4(X)_{hom, \mathbb{Q}} = \text{Griff}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Beauville (cf. [B2, Prop.6]). Equation (18) follows from Corollary 9.2 and Proposition 8.1. Equation (19) follows from Corollary 9.2 and the surjectivity of $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all $k \geq n+p$. Equation (20) follows from Corollary 9.2 the Atiyah-Hirzebruch isomorphism between the topological K -group with rational coefficients and the singular cohomology with rational coefficients, and the fact the morphic cohomology is isomorphic to the singular cohomology for X in these cases under the assumption of the weak Suslin conjecture. □

If we denote by $K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s = \{\alpha \in K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid m_X^*(\alpha) = m^s \alpha\}$, then

$$\begin{cases} K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 & \cong H^0(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}; \\ K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 & \cong NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}; \\ K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 & \cong \text{Griff}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Griff}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}; \\ K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^4 & \cong L^2 H^4(X)^0 \cong L_1 H_2(X)^0; \\ K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^6 & \cong H^6(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}; \\ K_0^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s & = 0, \text{ all other } s. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} K_1^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 & \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}); \\ K_1^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 & \cong L^2 H^3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \cong L_1 H_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0; \\ K_1^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^4 & \cong L^2 H^3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \cong L_1 H_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0; \\ K_1^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^5 & \cong H^5(X, \mathbb{Q}); \\ K_1^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s & = 0, \text{ all other } s. \end{cases}$$

and

$$K_j^{sst}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^s \cong H^s(X, \mathbb{Q}), \forall s \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 2.$$

10. APPENDIX

In this appendix we will discuss and summary for convenience the relations between a few conjectures in Lawson homology theory. Some of them are known or implied in the literatures before.

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety. It was shown in [FM, §7] that the subspaces $T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ form a decreasing filtration (called the *topological filtration*):

$$\cdots \subseteq T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq T_{p-1} H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq T_0 H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

and $T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes if $2p > k$.

Denote by $G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ the \mathbb{Q} -vector subspace of $H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the images of mappings $H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$, induced from all morphisms $Y \rightarrow X$ of varieties of dimension $\leq k - p$.

The subspaces $G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ also form a decreasing filtration (called the *geometric filtration*):

$$\cdots \subseteq G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq G_{p-1} H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_0 H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

Denote by $\tilde{F}_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ the maximal sub-(Mixed) Hodge structure of span $k - 2p$. (See [G2] and [FM].) The sub- \mathbb{Q} vector spaces $\tilde{F}_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ form a decreasing filtration of sub-Hodge structures:

$$\cdots \subseteq \tilde{F}_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \tilde{F}_{p-1} H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \tilde{F}_0 H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

and $\tilde{F}_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes if $2p > k$. This filtration is called the *Hodge filtration*.

It was shown by Friedlander and Mazur that

$$(21) \quad T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \tilde{F}_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

holds for any smooth projective variety X and $k \geq 2p \geq 0$.

Friedlander and Mazur proposed the following conjecture which relates Lawson homology theory to the central problems in the algebraic cycle theory.

Conjecture 10.1 (Friedlander-Mazur conjecture, [FM]). *For any smooth projective variety X , one has*

$$T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The Friedlander-Mazur conjecture remains open for general threefolds. However, it has been verified for some cases. For example, it was shown to hold for general abelian varieties (cf. [F2]) or abelian varieties for which the the generalized Hodge conjecture holds (cf. [A]); It was also shown to hold for threefold X with $h^{2,0}(X) = 0$, in particular, the complete intersection of dimension three (cf. [H1]). It also holds for *any* abelian threefold. To see the last statement, we note that for X a threefold X , it is enough to show Conjecture 10.1 for the $p = 1$. Note that by Proposition 6.14, $T_1H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = G_1H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for $k \geq 4$. By Proposition 1.15 in [H1], one has $T_1H_3(X, \mathbb{Q}) = G_1H_3(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, Friedlander and Mazur proved that $T_1H_2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = G_1H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (cf. [FM, §7]).

Conjecture 10.2 (The generalized Hodge conjecture, [G2] and [FM]). *For any smooth projective variety X , one has*

$$G_pH_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \tilde{F}_pH_k(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

There is a corresponding conjecture in terms of morphic cohomology (cf. [FW4]). The equivalence between the homological version and the cohomological version is given by using Friedlander-Lawson duality isomorphism (cf. [FL2]). In [FW4], the cohomological version of Conjecture 10.1 and 10.2 combine to one conjecture $T_pH_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \tilde{F}_pH_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ which they called the (strong) Friedlander-Mazur conjecture.

Now let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension n and let H be a hyperplane section such that $Y := X \cap H$ is smooth. The Lefschetz operator $L : H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{i+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is defined by $L(\alpha) = \alpha \cup [Y]$, where $[Y]$ denotes the homology class of Y in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. The Hard Lefschetz Theorem says

$$L^{n-i} : H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism. Note that this L_X is given by the algebraic cycle $\Delta(Y)$, in other words, $L(-) = p_{2*}(p_1^*(-) \cap \Delta(Y))$.

Conjecture 10.3 (Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type, [G1]). *The inverse $\Lambda^{n-i} : H^{2n-i}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$ to L^{n-i} is given by an algebraic cycle for each $0 \leq i \leq n$.*

In this case Λ^{n-i} is also called *algebraic* for each $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Conjecture 10.4 (Hard Lefschetz conjecture for Lawson homology, [FM]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and let h be a hyperplane section. Then the intersection*

$$h^k \bullet : L_pH_{n+k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow L_{p-k}H_{n-k}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \alpha \mapsto [h]^k \bullet \alpha,$$

is injective for $k \geq 1$, where $[h]$ is viewed as the class of h in $L_{n-1}H_{2n-2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The following conjecture is essential to the structure of the Lawson homology for a smooth quasi-projective variety.

Conjecture 10.5 (The Suslin conjecture for Lawson Homology with coefficient A , [FHW]). *For any abelian group A and any smooth quasi-projective variety X of dimension n , the map $\Phi_{p,k} : L_pH_k(X, A) \rightarrow H_k(X, A)$ is an isomorphism for $k \geq n + p$ and is an injection for $k = n + p - 1$.*

This is an analogue of the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in motivic cohomology theory. When A is a finite abelian group, the Milnor-Bloch-Kato conjecture(or

theorem) implies that the Suslin conjecture for Lawson Homology with coefficient A .

The weak version Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology only requires X be projective and $\Phi_{p,k}$ be isomorphic for $k \geq n + p$. Clearly, the weak version Suslin conjecture is much weaker than the (strong) Suslin conjecture. However, it is mentioned separately since the weak version Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology with integer coefficients implies the Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type (see below).

These conjectures (Conjecture 10.1-10.5) hold for all smooth projective varieties of dimension less or equal than two. However, as far as I know, all of them are still open even for a general smooth projective variety of dimension three. Known cases and statements on these conjectures can be found in the literature (cf. [Bl], [F2], [FHW], [FM], [FW4]), [H1], [LF], [Pe], [X], [Vo], etc. and the references therein).

Lemma 10.6. *The maps $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ are surjective for all smooth projective variety X and $k \geq p + \dim X$ is equivalent to the Friedlander-Mazur conjecture holds for all smooth projective variety.*

Proof. On one side, it is clear that if the Friedlander-Mazur conjecture for a smooth projective variety X , then $T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for $k \geq p + \dim X$. Since $k \geq p + \dim X$, $G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and so $T_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$, i.e., $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective.

On the other side, we need to show that for any smooth projective variety Y , $T_p H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q}) = G_p H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $k \geq 2p$. By assumption, we only need to show $T_p H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q}) = G_p H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $2p \leq k \leq n + p - 1$. This was done in the proof of Theorem 1.20 in [H1], where we base on a stronger assumption (i.e. the Suslin conjecture). However, the injection for $\Phi_{p,k}$ is not really used in that proof. \square

Proposition 10.7. *The Friedlander-Mazur conjecture is equivalent to the Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type. More precise, the Friedlander-Mazur conjecture holds for all smooth projective varieties if and only if Λ is algebraic for every smooth projective varieties.*

Proof. On one side, we note that the Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type implies the Friedlander-Mazur conjecture (cf. [F2]). On the other side, the Friedlander-Mazur conjecture implies that $\Phi_{p,k} : L_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective for all $k \geq p + \dim X$. The surjectivity of $\Phi_{p,k}$ for all $k \geq p + \dim X$ is equivalent to the Grothendieck standard conjecture of Lefschetz type (cf. [Bl]). \square

Proposition 10.8. *The generalized Hodge conjecture holds for all smooth projective varieties implies the Conjecture 10.1. More precisely,*

$$"G_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \tilde{F}_p H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}), \text{ all } X" \Leftrightarrow "T_p H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q}) = \tilde{F}_p H_k(Y, \mathbb{Q}), \text{ all } Y".$$

Proof. The part " \Leftarrow " follows directly from the assumption and Equation (21). For the part " \Rightarrow ", we assume the generalized Hodge conjecture holds for all smooth projective varieties, in particular, it holds for $X \times X$ in the case that $k = 2p$, which is the classical Hodge conjecture. It is known the Hodge conjecture for $X \times X$ implies that the Grothendieck standard conjecture for X , which holds for all smooth projective varieties implies that Conjecture 10.1 holds for all smooth projective varieties. \square

Remark 10.9. *From the above discuss we see that the generalized Hodge conjecture and the Suslin conjecture for Lawson homology with integer coefficients dominate many key problems in the theory of Lawson homology. So solutions to those problems for general smooth projective varieties is the most difficult problems in this field. An alternative way to deal with problems in Lawson homology theory would be the study on those varieties carrying special structures.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Baohua Fu for useful conversions during my visiting Chinese Academy of Science during December 2010. The author is grateful the Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics at Bonn for its hospitality and financial support during my visiting period. The project was partially sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM and NSFC(11171234).

REFERENCES

- [A] S. Abdulali, *Filtrations on the cohomology of abelian varieties*. Proceedings and Lecture Notes 24 (2000).
- [B1] A. Beauville, *Quelques remarques sur la transformation de Fourier dans l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*. Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), 238-260, Lecture Notes in Math., 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [B2] A. Beauville, *Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*. Math. Ann. 273 (1986), no. 4, 647-651.
- [Bl] A. Beilinson, *Remarks on Grothendieck's standard conjectures*, arXiv:1006.1116v2
- [BL] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 302. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xii+635 pp. ISBN: 3-540-20488-1
- [F1] E. Friedlander, *Algebraic cycles, Chow varieties, and Lawson homology*. Compositio Math. 77 (1991), no. 1, 55–93.
- [F2] E. Friedlander, *Filtrations on algebraic cycles and homology*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 28 (1995), no. 3, 317C343.
- [FG] E. Friedlander and O. Gabber, *Cycle spaces and intersection theory. Topological methods in modern mathematics* (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), 325–370, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [FHW] E. Friedlander; C. Haesemeyer and M. Walker, *Techniques, computations, and conjectures for semi-topological K-theory*. Math. Ann. 330 (2004), no. 4, 759–807.
- [FL1] E. Friedlander and B. Lawson, *A theory of algebraic cocycles*. Ann. of Math. (2) 136 (1992), no. 2, 361C428.
- [FL2] E. Friedlander and B. Lawson, *Duality relating spaces of algebraic cocycles and cycles*. Topology 36 (1997), no. 2, 533C565.
- [FM] E. Friedlander and B. Mazur, *Filtrations on the homology of algebraic varieties. With an appendix by Daniel Quillen*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1994), no. 529, x+110 pp.
- [FW1] E. Friedlander and M. Walker, *Semi-topological K-theory using function complexes*. Topology 41 (2002), no. 3, 591C644.
- [FW2] E. Friedlander and M. Walker, *Comparing K-theories for complex varieties*. Amer. J. Math. 123 (2001), no. 5, 779C810.
- [FW3] E. Friedlander and M. Walker, *Rational isomorphisms between K-theories and cohomology theories*. Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 1, 1C61.
- [FW4] E. Friedlander and M. Walker, *Semi-topological K-theory*. Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, 877C924, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [G1] A. Grothendieck, *Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles*, Algebraic Geometry (Bombay, 1968), Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1969, 193-199.
- [G2] A. Grothendieck, *Hodge's general conjecture is false for trivial reasons*. Topology 8 1969 299–303.

- [H1] W. Hu, *Birational invariants defined by Lawson homology*. Michigan Math. J. 60 (2011), 331-354.
- [H2] W. Hu, *Generalized Abel-Jacobi map on Lawson homology*. Amer. J. Math. vol. 131 (2009), no. 5, 1241-1260.
- [HL] W. Hu and L. Li, *Lawson homology, morphic cohomology and Chow motives*. Math. Nachr. 284, No. 8-9, 1024 - 1047 (2011).
- [L1] H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Algebraic cycles and homotopy theory.*, Ann. of Math. **129**(1989), 253-291.
- [L2] H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Spaces of algebraic cycles*. pp. 137-213 in Surveys in Differential Geometry, 1995 vol.2, International Press, 1995.
- [Li] D. I. Lieberman, *Numerical and homological equivalence of algebraic cycles on Hodge manifolds*. Amer. J. Math. 90 1968 366C374.
- [LF] P. Lima-Filho, *Lawson homology for quasiprojective varieties*. Compositio Math. 84(1992), no. 1, 1-23.
- [Ma] J. I. Manin, *Correspondences, motifs and monoidal transformations*. Math. USSR-Sbornik 6 (1968), 439-470.
- [Mk] Shigeru Mukai, *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*. Nagoya Math. J. 81: 153-175.
- [Mr] J. P. Murre, *On a conjectural filtration on the Chow groups of an algebraic variety. I. The general conjectures and some examples*. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 4 (1993), no. 2, 177-188.
- [Pe] C. Peters, *Lawson homology for varieties with small Chow groups and the induced filtration on the Griffiths groups*. Math. Z. 234 (2000), no. 2, 209-223.
- [X] Z. Xu, *On Hard Lefschetz Conjecture on Lawson Homology*. arXiv:1101.4990v1
- [Vo] M. Voineagu, *Semi-topological K-theory for certain projective varieties*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 8, 1960-1983.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P. R. CHINA

Current Address: VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: huwenchuan@gmail.com